



# **River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

## **Appendices**

### **Volume 3**

January 2015

**TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS**



## APPENDICES

Appendix 1.1	Consultation Responses
Appendix 6.1	Terrestrial Ecology References
Appendix 6.2	Criteria for assessing site evaluation
Appendix 6.3	Site Synopsis
Appendix 6.4	Natura Impact Statement
Appendix 7.1	Aquatic Ecology References
Appendix 7.2	Aquatic Ecology Tables and Figures
Appendix 7.3	Photographs of Aquatic Assessment
Appendix 8.1	Trial Pit Logs
Appendix 11.1	Laboratory Dust Results
Appendix 11.2	Birr Wind Rose
Appendix 12.1	Landscape Photomontages
Appendix 14.1	Cultural Heritage References

## **Appendix 1.1**

---

### **Consultation Responses**

# An Garda Síochána

Oifig Rúnai Príobháideach  
an Choimisinéara  
An Garda Síochána  
Páirc an Fhionnuisce  
Baile Átha Cliath 8

Tel/Teileafón: (01) 66 62020 / 66 62022

Fax/Facs: (01) 66 62021



Office of the Commissioner's  
Private Secretary  
Garda Headquarters  
Phoenix Park  
Dublin 8

Láithreán Gréasáin / Website:  
[www.garda.ie](http://www.garda.ie)

Ríomhphost / E-mail:  
[commissioner@garda.ie](mailto:commissioner@garda.ie)

Luaigh an uimhir tharaghta seo a leanas le  
do thoil:

Please quote the following ref. number:

Bí linn/Join us  

CMR\_1-117472/14


Ms Emma Collins  
Tobin Consulting Engineers  
Block 10-4  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park  
Dublin 15

**Re: River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**


Dear Ms. Collins

I am directed by the Commissioner to acknowledge receipt of your correspondence dated 4 June 2014 in the above which has been forwarded for the attention of Assistant Commissioner South Eastern Region, An Garda Síochána, Government Buildings Hebron Road Kilkenny (email [commissioner\\_southeast@garda.ie](mailto:commissioner_southeast@garda.ie) phone 056 7775006) who is the Regional Commissioner in charge of policing for the Templemore area and you will be communicated with further in course.

Yours sincerely

  
**FRANK WALSH**  
**SUPERINTENDENT**  
**PRIVATE SECRETARY**  
**TO COMMISSIONER**

 June 2014





# AN GARDA SÍOCHÁNA

Oifig an Ard Cheannfort  
Roinn Thiobraid Arann  
An Garda Síochana  
Durlas  
Condae Thiobraid Arann



Chief Superintendents Office  
Division of Tipperary  
An Garda Síochana  
Thurles  
Co. Tipperary

Teileafon/Telephone :  
0504 25111/13/14  
Facs/Fax: 0504 25181

Bí linn/Join us




Láithreán Gréasáin/Web Site: [www.garda.ie](http://www.garda.ie)  
Ríomhpoist/E-mail: [Thurles\\_DV@Garda.ie](mailto:Thurles_DV@Garda.ie)

Dáta/Date: 07 July 2014

CMR\_1-117472/14  
KK REG\_106-117472/14  
TI\_10-117472/14  
TH\_10-117472/14

Ms Emma Collins  
Tobin Consulting Engineers  
Block 10-4  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park  
Dublin 15



**Re: Tobin Consulting Engineers Concerning River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme.**

Dear Ms. Collins,

I refer to the above and your correspondence to the Commissioner of An Garda Síochana in this matter dated the 4<sup>th</sup> of June 2014.

In preparation of the Environmental Impact Statements to be issued by the Environmental Protection Agency I have no relevant information to submit and I am not aware of any issues I feel need to be addressed in the EIS.

Yours sincerely,

(Catherine M. Kehoe)  
CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT

Ráiteas Misin / Mission Statement:

Ag obair le Pobail chun iad a chosaint agus chun freastal orthu / Working with Communities to Protect and Serve

## Allison Austin

---

**From:** Donncha O'Sullivan [DOOSULLI@bge.ie]  
**Sent:** 06 June 2014 10:10  
**To:** Emma Collins  
**Subject:** River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme

Emma,

We have reviewed the drawing enclosed with your letter dated 4<sup>th</sup> Inst. We are pleased to advise you there is no Bord Gáis infrastructure in the Templemore vicinity.

Regards,

**Donncha**

*You are reminded that all work in the vicinity of Bord Gáis Pipelines and Installations must be completed in accordance with the current editions of the Health & Safety Authority publications, 'Code of Practice For Avoiding Danger From Underground Services' and 'Guide to Safety in Excavations'. Both of these are available free of charge from the Health and Safety Authority, 1890 28 93 89, or at [www.hsa.ie](http://www.hsa.ie).*

**Donncha Ó Sullivan BE CEng MIEI**  
**Development Liaison Engineer**  
**Bord Gáis Networks**

T 021-453 4613  
F 021-453 4318  
M 087 – 982 2437  
[www.bordgais.ie/networks](http://www.bordgais.ie/networks)



Tá an fhaisnéis á seachadadh dírithe ar an duine nó ar an eintiteas chuig a bhfuil sí seolta amháin agus féadfar ábhar faoi rún, faoi phribhléid nó ábhar atá íogair ó thaobh tráchtála de a bheith mar chuid de. Tá aon athsheachadadh nó scaipeadh den fhaisnéis, aon athbhreithniú ar nó aon úsáid eile a bhaint as, nó aon ghníomh a dhéantar ag brath ar an bhfaisnéis seo ag daoine nó ag eintitis nach dóibh siúd an fhaisnéis seo, toirimisceithe agus féadfar é a bheith neamhdhleathach. Níl BGE faoi dhliteanas maidir le seachadadh iomlán agus ceart na faisnéise sa chumarsáid seo nó maidir le haon mhoill a bhaineann léi. Ní ghlacann BGE le haon dliteanas faoi ghnímh nó faoi iarmhairtí bunaithe ar úsáid toirimisceithe na faisnéise seo. Níl BGE faoi dhliteanas maidir le seachadadh ceart agus iomlán na faisnéise sa chumarsáid seo nó maidir le haon mhoill a bhaineann léi. Má fuair tú an teachtaireacht seo in earráid, más é do thoil é, déan teagmháil leis an seoltóir agus scríos an t-ábhar ó gach aon ríomhaire. Féadfar ríomhphost a bheith soghabhálach i leith truaillithe, idircheaptha agus i leith leasaithe neamhúdairithe. Ní ghlacann Bord Gáis Éireann le haon fhreagracht as athruithe nó as idircheapadh a rinneadh ar an ríomhphost seo i ndiaidh é a sheoladh nó as aon dochar do chórais na bhfaighteoirí déanta ag an teachtaireacht seo nó ag a ceangaltáin. Más é do thoil é, tabhair faoi deara chomh maith go bhféadfar monatóireacht a dhéanamh ar

theachtaireachtaí chuig nó ó BGE chun comhlíonadh le polasaithe agus le caighdeáin BGE a chinntiú agus chun ár ngnó a chosaint. Is comhlacht corparáideach é Bord Gáis Éireann a bunaíodh faoin Acht Gáis 1976.

Go raibh maith agat as d'aird a thabhairt.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential, commercially sensitive and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited and may be unlawful. BGE accepts no liability for actions or effects based on the prohibited usage of this information. BGE is neither liable for the proper and complete transmission of the information contained in this communication nor for any delay in its receipt. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

E-Mail may be susceptible to data corruption, interception and unauthorized amendment. Bord Gáis Éireann accepts no responsibility for changes to or interception of this e-mail after it was sent or for any damage to the recipients systems or data caused by this message or its attachments. Please also note that messages to or from Bord Gáis Éireann may be monitored to ensure compliance with Bord Gáis Éireann's policies and standards and to protect our business. Bord Gáis Éireann is a body corporate established under the Gas Act 1976.

Thank you for your attention.

Bus Éireann – Irish Bus  
21 Botháir Phibsboro, Baile Átha Cliath 7  
21 Phibsboro Road, Dublin 7

T: +353 1 703  
F: +353 1 830 3667  
<http://www.buseireann.ie>



Ms. Emma Collins,  
Project Manager,  
TOBIN Consulting Engineers,  
Block 10-4,  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park,  
Dublin 15.

9<sup>th</sup> June, 2014

Dear Ms. Collins,

**Re: River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

I refer to your letter dated 4<sup>th</sup> June, 2014.

Bus Éireann have no issues that we feel should be addressed in the EIS.

Kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

J. Kenny,  
Manager, Human Resources.

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
PROJECT NO:		
FILE REF:		
Date Received 10 JUN 2014		
PASS TO	ACTION BY	DATE
EC		

[www.buseireann.ie](http://www.buseireann.ie)

Stiúrthóirí Directors – Mr Paul Mallee (Cathaoirleach Chairman), Mr John Moloney, Mr Bill McCamley,  
Mr Henry Minoque, Mr Kieran Fay, Mr Gerard Ryan, Mr Aidan Murphy  
Is cuideachta theoranta í seo atá cláraithe in Éirinn ag An Chloch Leathan, Baile Átha Cliath 7. Uimh.: 119570 Uimh. CBL: 4812850M  
A Limited Company Registered in Ireland at Broadstone, Dublin 7, No. 119570. Vat No. 4812850M



Office of the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine, Dublin 2.

Oifig an Aire Talmhaíochta, Bia agus Mara, Baile Átha Cliath 2.

9 June 2014

Ms. Emma Collins B.Sc, M Sc, PG Dip  
Project Manager  
TOBIN Consulting Engineers  
Fairgreen House  
Fairgreen Road  
Co. Galway

PLEASE QUOTE REF NUMBER ON ALL CORRESPONDENCE


Our Ref: 2014/50480P /CD

Dear Ms. Collins

I would like to acknowledge your recent correspondence dated 04 June 2014 to Minister Simon Coveney regarding River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme.

I will undertake to bring your correspondence to the Minister's attention at the next practical juncture. In the interim I have forwarded your correspondence for the attention of relevant Department officials.

Yours sincerely,

  
Kevin Galligan  
Private Secretary

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
PROJECT NO:		
FILE REF:		
Date Received	11 JUN 2014	
PASS TO	ACTION BY	DATE
EC		

## Allison Austin

---

**From:** Richard Daly [Richard.Daly@defence.ie]  
**Sent:** 19 June 2014 10:05  
**To:** Emma Collins  
**Subject:** River Mall (Templemore)

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Hi Emma,

The Department of Defence have no observations to make on the flood relief scheme for the river Mall, (Templemore).

Many thanks,

Richard Daly.

*Richard Daly  
Property Management Branch  
Department of Defence  
Station Road  
Newbridge  
Telephone 045-492038  
E-mail [richard.daly@defence.ie](mailto:richard.daly@defence.ie)*

Fógra faoi Rúndacht: Tá an ríomhphost seo agus aon iatán a ghabhann leis rúnda. Is leis an duine / nó daoine sin amháin a bhfuil siad seolta chucu a bhaineann siad agus ní ceart iad a léamh ná a scaoileadh chuig aon tríú páirtí gan cead roimh ré ón Roinn Cosanta. Chun amharc ar an Chairt do Chustaiméirí, cliceáil ar [www.defence.ie/WebSite.nsf/Publication+ID/1D378F944CAEE1D880256EBE00401CEB](http://www.defence.ie/WebSite.nsf/Publication+ID/1D378F944CAEE1D880256EBE00401CEB)

Notice re Confidentiality: This e-mail and any attachment transmitted with it are confidential. They are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient and should not be read or released to any third party without the prior consent of the Department of Defence. To view our Customer Charter, please click on [www.defence.ie/WebSite.nsf/Publication+ID/13614416AB88FA5E802570BC005B0446](http://www.defence.ie/WebSite.nsf/Publication+ID/13614416AB88FA5E802570BC005B0446)

Be Winter Ready - [www.winterready.ie](http://www.winterready.ie)



**HSE EIS SUBMISSION REPORT**  
**Environmental Health Service Consultation Report**  
*(as a Statutory Consultee (Planning and Development Acts 2000,  
& Regs made thereunder).*

**Report to: Ms. Emma Collins**  
**Project Manager,**  
**Tobin Consulting Engineers,**  
**Block 10-4,**  
**Blanchardstown Corporate Park ,**  
**Dublin 15**

**Type of consultation: EIS** ☐ **Scoping** ☒ **Screening (constraints)** ☐  
**Other (please specify)** \_\_\_\_\_

**Planning Authority:** North Tipperary County Council

**Reference Number:** N/A

**Applicant:** The Office of Public Works

**Proposed Development:** Flood Relief Scheme pursuant to the Arterial Drainage Acts, 1945 and Arterial Drainage (Amendment) Act, 1995 for the River Mall, Templemore, Co. Tipperary

**1. General Introduction**

**This report only comments on Environmental Health (EH) Impacts of the proposed development**

Reference is made to your letter dated the 4<sup>th</sup> of June, 2014 and your request for input into the scoping stage of the assessment of environmental impacts of the flood relief scheme for the River Mall in Templemore town, North Tipperary.

The context, character, significance and sensitivity of the following should be assessed and appropriate mitigation identified where required;

1. Noise and vibration impacts during the construction phase
2. Dust generation including the creation of dust and mud on the surrounding public highways
3. Impacts on surface and ground water during the construction phase and the potential for impacts to local water supply systems to businesses, food premises, residential properties, and educational facilities in the town
4. Impacts on services to local businesses, food premises, residential properties and educational facilities such as water supply, power supply, deliveries, and waste collections


5. Construction works creating pest problems in local businesses, food premises, residential properties and educational facilities
6. Waste generation and disposal associated with the proposed development
7. Storage of chemicals and other hazardous materials during the construction phase and contingency measures for chemical spillages or accidental release of chemicals
8. impacts to access routes and thoroughfares to local businesses, food premises, residential properties, educational facilities and public amenities
9. Securing the boundary of the proposed development site and access control during the construction stage to protect public health and safety.

**\* All correspondence or any queries with regard to this report including acknowledgement of this report should be forwarded to Ms. Anne Moriarty, Principal Environmental Health Officer, HSE West, Civic Offices, Nenagh, Co.Tipperary.**



Ms Emma Collins  
TOBIN Consulting Engineers  
Block 10-4  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park  
Dublin 15

26<sup>th</sup> July 2014



Dear Emma,

With reference to your letter dated the 4th of June 2014 in respect of the River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme, which passes beneath the Dublin to Cork Railway line through under-bridge UBC210 and which is situated to the south of Templemore Railway Station, please find below the comments from Iarnród Éireann.

1. While the main works appear to be a distance of 850m upstream of our asset, any increase in river depth and flow on the approaches and through the existing railway under-bridge or any changes to the route of the river has the potential to negatively affect the railway infrastructure. It would need to be fully evaluated and conclusively demonstrated to us that any changes as a result of the planned works will not adversely affect the railway infrastructure in this way and consequentially impact on railway safety.
2. Furthermore, with regard to the use of lands adjacent or near to the railway as flood plains, It would need to be fully evaluated and conclusively demonstrated that any such use of lands as flood plains would not adversely affect the railway infrastructure and consequentially impact on railway safety.
3. An evaluation is required to demonstrate that there will be no increase in risk to flooding of the railway line as a result of the planned works either during or after the project. The impact on existing railway drainage systems must also be unaffected and a demonstration of this is also required.
4. Our ability to carry out planned or unplanned maintenance of the railway must not be adversely affected by the works at any stage during the project or afterwards as a result of the project works.

5. The scheme should not introduce any protected species during translocation exercises that would impose stricter environmental conditions on Iarnród Éireann during our normal maintenance or renewal activities for the railway line and associated assets.
6. The scheme should not introduce any environmental restrictions in general that may impact on our ability to maintain and/or renew our assets and a demonstration of this should also be provided.

Should you wish to discuss further, please contact Mr. Andrew Wilson, Senior Track and Structures Engineer, Limerick Junction ([Andrew.wilson@irishrail.ie](mailto:Andrew.wilson@irishrail.ie)).

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Franks', with a horizontal line underneath.

David Franks

**Chief Executive**

Ms. Emma Collins,  
Project Manager,  
Tobin Consulting Engineers,  
Block 10-4,  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park,  
Dublin 15.

11<sup>th</sup> June 2014

**Re: River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS	
PROJECT NO.	1
FILE REF.	1
Date Received	26 JUN 2014
PASS TO	
	AA

Dear Ms Collins,

I refer your letter dated 4<sup>th</sup> June seeking comment on the above proposed scheme. IFI supports in principle the proposal to establish a new river channel including the establishment of appropriate instream and riparian habitat. Discussions with members of the local angling club suggest that they are generally supportive of the plan. The detailed design of the new channel will be agreed in consultation with IFI. No works shall be carried out without prior consultation and agreement with IFI.

We also welcome proposals for development of linear parklands/river walks particularly in urban areas as such developments significantly improve the amenity value of the river and riverside zone. However, it is essential that such developments protect and where possible enhance riparian habitat. It would appear from recent discussions with OPW representatives that the development of the river walk as currently proposed while well intentioned is inappropriate as it is likely to negate the establishment of riparian habitat. This is due to the fact that the proposed river walk is immediately adjacent to the proposed new channel. IFI is of the view that the proposed development should include a streamside riparian zone of approximately 10m (i.e. the river walk should be approximately 10m from the watercourse). In urban environments, linear parklands along waterways could be incorporated into wider riparian/buffer zones.

Please refer to the enclosed guidance document **"Planning for watercourses in the urban environment"** which outlines an integrated protection strategy for watercourses and riparian buffer zones together with their functional uses.






Diagram illustrating riparian buffer sub zones (see attached document). The document is also available as a download from the IFI website: <http://www.fisheriesireland.ie/fisheries-management-1/86-planning-for-watercourses-in-the-urban-environment-1>

IFI requires notification of the intention to divert the Mall River to the new channel at least two weeks before the event so that the removal and transfer of fish from the existing river channel to the new channel can be planned. Diversion into the new channel can only take place during the period July to September inclusive.

We look forward to further consultation during the design process.

Yours sincerely,

Frank O'Donoghue,  
Senior Fisheries Environmental Officer

## Allison Austin

---

**From:** John Lyden [johnlyden@eircom.net]  
**Sent:** 06 June 2014 11:31  
**To:** Emma Collins  
**Subject:** River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme

Ms Emma Collins, Tobin Consulting Engineers

Dear Ms Collins

I refer to your consultation letter dated 4 June 2014. We have no comments to make on the above development.

Regards John Lyden, committee member, Irish Raptor Study Group

## Allison Austin

---

**From:** David Fitzgerald [David.Fitzgerald@met.ie]  
**Sent:** 23 June 2014 11:36  
**To:** Emma Collins  
**Subject:** River Mall Drainage Scheme

Dear Emma,

In reply to your letter on the 4th June, the proposed OPW Scheme at Templemore will not impact on Met Eireann's measurement infrastructure.

Regards,  
David Fitzgerald.

\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the addressee. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

This e-mail message has also been scanned for the presence of computer viruses.

Ta an ríomhphost seo, agus aon chomhad ata nasctha leis, faoi run agus is don te a seoladh chuige amhain e. Ma tharla go bhfuair tu an ríomhphost seo trí dhearmad cuir in iúl don te a sheol e le'd' thoil.

Ta an teachtaireacht ríomhphoist seo scuabtha le bogearraí frithvireas.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Ms. Emma Collins**  
**Project Manager**  
**TOBIN Consulting Engineers**  
**Block 10-4**  
**Blanchardstown Corporate Park**  
**Dublin 15**

**Teach Naomh Máirtín / Bóthar Waterloo / Baile Átha Cliath 4**  
**St. Martin's House / Waterloo Road / Dublin 4**  
**Tel: / Tel: + 353 1 660 2511 Facs: / Fax: + 353 1 668 0009**

Dáta | Date

6 June 2014

Ár dTag. | Our Ref.

NRA14 90191


Bhur dTag. | Your Ref.


**Re: River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

**Dear Ms. Collins**

I wish to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 4 June 2014 regarding the above. The matter is receiving attention a further response will issue as soon as possible.

**Yours sincerely**

  
**Olivia Morgan**  
**Programme & Regulatory Unit**



**Ms. Emma Collins**  
**Project Manager**  
**TOBIN Consulting Engineers**  
**Block 10-4**  
**Blanchardstown Corporate Park**  
**Dublin 15**

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS	
PROJECT NO:	
FILE REF:	
Date Received 13 JUN 2014	
Teach Naomh Martin / Bóthar Waterloo / Baile Atha Cliath 4	
St. Martin's House / Waterloo Road / Dublin 4	
Tel: / Tel: +353 1 668 2511	Fax: / Fax: +353 1 668 0000

Dáta | Date

12 June 2014

Ár dTag. | Our Ref.

NRA14 90191

Bhur dTag. | Your Ref.

**Re: River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

**Dear Ms. Collins**

The Authority acknowledges receipt of your correspondence of 4 June, 2014. The Authority endeavours to consider and respond to planning applications and other requests referred to it given its status and duties as a statutory consultee under the Planning Acts. The approach to be adopted by the Authority in making such submissions or comments will seek to uphold official policy and guidelines as outlined in the Department of Environment, Community & Local Government Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines for Planning Authorities (2012). Regard should also be had to NRA guidance and other relevant circulars, which are available at [www.nra.ie](http://www.nra.ie).

The issuing of this correspondence is provided as best practice guidance only and does not prejudice the NRA's statutory right to make any observations, requests for further information, objections or appeals following the examination of any valid planning application referred.

With respect to the River Mall (Templemore) Flood Relief Scheme, the recommendations indicated below provide only general guidance in relation to matters which may affect the National Road Network and may form part of your scoping.

The developer should have regard, *inter alia*, to the following;

- Consultations should be had with the relevant Local Authority/Regional Design Office with regard to locations of existing and future national road schemes in the area. Although some of the national road schemes may currently be suspended pending the availability of funding for further progress, it is considered good practice to address impact or relationship with the relevant national road schemes in the proposed flood relief scheme.
- The developer should assess impacts on existing national roads. The Authority would be specifically concerned as to potential significant impacts development on the national road network (i.e. N62) in the vicinity and/or downstream of any proposed works.
- In the interests of maintaining the safety and standard of the national road network, the EIS should identify the methods/techniques proposed for any works traversing/in proximity to the



national road network, in particular any works that might affect existing structures on the national road network; early consultation with the NRA is recommended.

- In particular due to the potential for structures proposed in the scheme to have an impact on the national road network, the developer is reminded of the requirements of NRA BD 2 - Technical Approval of Road Structures on Motorways and Other National Roads for structures. This Standard specifies the procedures to be followed in order to obtain Technical Acceptance for structures on motorway and other national road schemes and for the submission of as built records. The procedures cover the design of all road structures, including bridges, tunnels, subways, culverts, buried corrugated steel structures, retaining walls, reinforced earth structures, gantries, environmental noise barriers and temporary structures under or over motorways or other roads carrying public traffic.

The Technical Acceptance requirements, if any, for the assessment, alteration, modification, strengthening and repair of all road structures affected by national road schemes shall be agreed with the Bridge Management Section of the National Roads Authority (NRA).

- The developer should have regard to any Environmental Impact Statement and all conditions and/or modifications imposed by An Bord Pleanála regarding road schemes in the area. The developer should in particular have regard to any potential cumulative impacts.
- The developer, in conducting Environmental Impact Assessment, should have regard to the NRA DMRB and the NRA Manual of Contract Documents for Road Works.
- The developer, in conducting Environmental Impact Assessment, should have regard to the NRA's Environmental Assessment and Construction Guidelines, including the *Guidelines for the Treatment of Air Quality During the Planning and Construction of National Road Schemes* (National Roads Authority, 2006).
- The EIS should consider the Environmental Noise Regulations 2006 (SI 140 of 2006) and, in particular, how the development will affect future action plans by the relevant competent authority. The developer may need to consider the incorporation of noise barriers to reduce noise impacts (see *Guidelines for the Treatment of Noise and Vibration in National Road Schemes* (1<sup>st</sup> Rev., National Roads Authority, 2004)).
- It would be important that, where appropriate, subject to meeting the appropriate thresholds and criteria, a Traffic and Transport Assessment be carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and best practice, noting traffic volumes attending the site and traffic routes to/from the site with reference to impacts on the national road network and junctions of lower category roads with national roads. The Authority's Traffic and Transport Assessment Guidelines (2007) should be referred to in this regard. Please also have regard to Section 2.2 of the Guidelines which address circumstances where sub-threshold Traffic & Transport Assessment may be required.
- The designers are asked to consult the National Roads Authority's DMRB *Road Safety Audit* (NRA HD 19/12) to determine whether a Road Safety Audit is required.

Notwithstanding, any of the above, the developer should be aware that this list is non-exhaustive, thus site and development specific issues should be addressed in accordance with best practise.

I hope that the above comments are of use in your continuing process.

**Yours sincerely**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael McCormack', written over a horizontal line.

**Michael McCormack**  
**Policy Adviser (Planning)**

**Ms. Allison Austin-Murphy**  
**Project Scientist**  
**Tobin Consulting Engineers**  
**Block 10-4**  
**Blanchardstown Corporate Park**  
**Dublin 15**

**Teach Naomh Máirtín / Bóthar Waterloo / Baile Átha Cliath 4**  
**St. Martins House / Waterloo Road / Dublin 4**  
**Tel: / Tel: +353 1 6602511 Facs: / Fax: + 353 1 668 0009**

Dáta | Date

Ár dTag | Our Ref.

Bhur dTag | Your Ref.

29 July 2014

NRA14 90413

**Re: Templemore Drainage Scheme – Committed Road Developments**

**Dear Ms. Austin-Murphy**

Thank you for your letter of 15 July 2014 regarding the above.

I wish to advise that the National Roads Authority has no committed road schemes to be considered. However, consultation should also be had with the relevant local authority, in this case, Tipperary County Council with regard to any existing or future road schemes in the area other than NRA schemes.

**Yours sincerely**

*p.p. Oliver Morgan*  
**Michael McCormack**  
**Policy Adviser (Planning)**

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
PROJECT NO:		
FILE REF:		
31 JUL 2014		
Date Received	ACTION BY	DATE
PASS TO		



Comhairle Contae Thiobraid Árann  
Tipperary County Council

Comhairle Contae  
Thiobraid Árann,  
Oifigí Cheantar Bardasach  
an Teampaill Mhóir-  
Dhurlais, Bóthar Shliabh  
na mBan, Durlas,  
Co. Thiobraid Árann

Tipperary County Council,  
Templemore-Thurles  
Municipal District Office,  
Slievenamon Road,  
Thurles,  
Co. Tipperary

t 0761 06 5000  
e customerservice  
@tipperarycoco.ie

[tipperarycoco.ie](http://tipperarycoco.ie)

Tobin Consulting Engineers,  
Ms. Emma Collins,  
Project Manager,  
Block 10 - 4,  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park,  
Dublin 15.

16th. June, 2014


**Re: River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

Dear Emma,

I wish to acknowledge receipt of your letter and location map dated 4th. June, 2014 in regard to the above.

This matter has been referred to Mr. John Jones, Executive Engineer, who has been requested to forward any relevant information/issues to you pertaining to the Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Flood Relief Scheme for the River Mall, Templemore.

Yours Sincerely,

  
p.p. District Administrator

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
PROJECT NO:		
FILE REF:		
17 JUN 2014		
Date Received	17 JUN 2014	DATE
PASS TO	17 JUN 2014	DATE
EC		

## Allison Austin

---

**From:** brendan sage [sage.brendan@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** 10 June 2014 14:05  
**To:** Emma Collins  
**Subject:** River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Hi Emma

We acknowledge receipt of your letter .

Templemore and District Anglers had a meeting with Mr. Alan Cullagh Inland Fisheries Ireland Inspector, on Thursday evening 5th of July last , where he made representation on behalf of the O.P.W regards the planned works been proposed for the Mall River .

We had discussed the proposed plans at this meeting and were shown a proposed plan of the intended works , the plan on that night varies from the plan i received from you ?

we understand that the plans may well vary between now and the agreed upon plan , our club wish to confirm that we are willing to co-operate to the fullest with the proposed plans for the flood relieve for Templemore.

We do how ever wish that the river " which is a vital Tributary to the river Suir and spawning Salmon and Trout and other species of fish " is managed and the works proposed do not affect the spawning of these species and is carried out with this in mind .

The only real concern as of now is that the river maintains a suitable Level of water to hold fish after the works have been completed , these levels MUST be suitable after the agreed upon works are completed to hold / sustain fish life during the summer months ( out of flood and spawning season ) as the summer levels drop .

We are also hopefull and with the agreement of the I.F.I that a suitable base for spawning fish is placed / returned to the proposed redirected site of the river , whether this is done and suitable to all parties by either installing suitable gravel / stone or removing the gravel / stone from the dried up section of the mall and placed back into the diverted section .

Templemore and District Anglers will be holding talks with Inland Fisheries Ireland and the other relevant bodies on an ongoing basis to insure that any problems that may arise during the works will be resolved with minimum fuss and delay to all parties , and any changes beneficial to all parties that need to be made during the works will be done without prejudice .

Templemore and District Anglers wish to make it known that we are more than willing to co-operate with the proposed plans to alleviate the flood problems been caused and see the need to protect people and their property , but we also wish that the proposed works are done / carried out in an acceptable manner to all parties.

We thank you for your letter and inviting us to participate in the proposed plans / works been carried out and will be as helpfull as we possible can be to all parties involved ,

Regards

Brendan Sage

Secretary

Templemore and District Anglers

Ph. no. 0862713243 / 0864042113

**From:** Jones, John [john.jones@tipperarycoco.ie]  
**Sent:** 03 July 2014 09:47  
**To:** Emma Collins  
**Cc:** Boland, Ruairi; Hayes, Michael F

Emma

Thank you for your letter dated 4<sup>th</sup> June re River Mall Templemore.

I have spoken to Mr. Cummins in relation to the proposed scheme as outlined in your recent correspondence. Mr. Cummins has pointed out that there are important issues in relation to the wastewater, watermain and surface water networks along Church avenue, which should be investigated prior to proceeding with the project.

Patrick Moran, Executive Engineer in Nenagh is the Engineer responsible for these networks in Templemore.

Can you also arrange for a link up for a surface water pipe on the Borrisoleigh Road to alleviate flooding opposite Geraldine Clarkes house located approximately 150metre beyond the N62 JUNCTION on the Borrisoleigh Rd.

If you require further information on these points please contact me on 087 6285471

Thank you

John Jones  
Area Engineer Roscrea  
**Comhairle Contae Thiobraid Árann**  
**Tipperary County Council**  
[www.tipperarycoco.ie](http://www.tipperarycoco.ie)

[Tipperary County Council Disclaimer](#)

Ms E Collins  
Project Manager  
TOBAN Consulting Engineers  
Block 10-4  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park  
Dublin 15


17<sup>th</sup> June 2014

**River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

Dear Ms Collins

I would advise that Waterways Ireland has no comments on this proposal.

Yours Sincerely



N. Russell

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
PROJECT NO:		
FILE REF:		
19 JUN 2014		
Date Received	ACTION BY	DATE
PASS TO		
EC		

## **Appendix 6.1**

---

### **Terrestrial Ecology References**



## APPENDIX 6-1      TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY REFERENCES

Curtis, T. & McGough, H. 1988. *The Irish Red Data Book: 1 Vascular Plants*. Wildlife Service Ireland, Dublin. The Stationary Office.

Environmental Protection Agency. 2002. *Guidelines on the information to be contained in Environmental Impact Statements*.

Environmental Protection Agency. 2003. *Advice Notes on Current Practice (in the preparation of Environmental Impact Statements)*. Prepared on behalf of the EPA by CAAS Environmental Services Ltd.

Fossitt, J.A. 2000. *A Guide to Habitats in Ireland*. The Heritage Council, Kilkenny, Ireland.

Gilbert, G., Gibbons, D.W., and Evans, J. 1998. *Bird Monitoring Methods—a manual of techniques for key UK species*. RSPB, Sandy.

Hayden, T. and Harrington, R. 2000. *Exploring Irish Mammals*. Dúchas The Heritage Service. Town House and Country House Ltd, Dublin.

Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, 2006. *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the United Kingdom* (Version 7 July 2006). <http://www.ieem.org.uk/ecia/index.html>

Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, 2006. *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the United Kingdom*.

Kelleher, C. & Marnell, F., 2006. Bat Mitigation Guidelines for Ireland. *Irish Wildlife Manuals*, No. 25. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

Murphy D.F. 2004. *Requirements for the Protection of Fisheries Habitat during Construction and Development Works at River Sites*. Eastern Regional Fisheries Board.

National Roads Authority, 2009. *Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Roads Schemes*.

National Roads Authority. 2006. *Guidelines for the treatment of otters during the Construction of National Road Schemes*.

National Roads Authority. 2006. *Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Road Schemes*. Revision 1, 1<sup>st</sup> March 2006.

National Roads Authority. 2005. *Guidelines for the Treatment of Bats during the Construction of National Road Schemes*.

National Roads Authority. 2005. *Guidelines for the Crossing of Watercourses during the Construction of National Road Schemes*.

Natura Environmental Consultants. 2002. *A Standard Methodology for Habitat Survey and Mapping in Ireland*. The Heritage Council. Draft.

Preston, C.D, Pearman, D.A. & Dines, T.D. 2002. *New Atlas of the British and Irish Flora*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Rose, F. 1989. *Colour Identification Guide to the Grasses, Sedges, Rushes and Ferns of the British Isles and north-western Europe*. Viking

Smith, G. et. al, 2011. *Best Practice Guidance for Habitat Survey and Mapping*. The Heritage Council, Kilkenny, Ireland.

Webb, D.A., Parnell, J., & Doogue, D. 1996. *An Irish Flora*. Dundalgan Press (W. Tempest) Ltd., Dundalk.

Whilde, A. 1993 Threatened mammals, birds, amphibians and fish in Ireland. *Irish Red Data Book 2: Vertebrates*. HMSO, Belfast.

**Other References are detailed in the text**

## **Appendix 6.2**

---

### **Criteria for assessing site evaluation**

## Appendix 6.2 Criteria for assessing Site Evaluation

Rating	Qualifying Criteria
A	<b>Internationally important</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Sites designated (or qualifying for designation) as SAC* or SPA* under the EU Habitats or Birds Directives.</b></li> <li>• Undesignated sites containing good examples of Annex I priority habitats under the EU Habitats Directive.</li> <li>• Major salmon river fisheries.</li> <li>• Major salmonid (salmon, trout or char) lake fisheries</li> </ul>
B	<b>Nationally Important</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites or waters designated or proposed as an NHA* or statutory Nature Reserves.</li> <li>• Undesignated sites containing good examples of Annex I habitats (under EU Habitats Directive).</li> <li>• Undesignated sites containing significant numbers of resident or regularly occurring populations of Annex II species under the EU Habitats Directive or Annex I species under the EU Birds Directive or species protected under the Wildlife (Amendment) Act 2000.</li> <li>• Major trout river fisheries.</li> <li>• Water bodies with major amenity fishery value.</li> <li>• Commercially important coarse fisheries.</li> </ul>
C	<b>High value, locally important</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites containing semi-natural habitat types with high biodiversity in a local context and a high degree of naturalness, or significant populations of locally rare species.</li> <li>• Small water bodies with known salmonid populations or with good potential salmonid habitat.</li> <li>• Sites containing any resident or regularly occurring populations of Annex II species under the EU Habitats Directive or Annex I species under the EU Birds Directive.</li> <li>• Large water bodies with some coarse fisheries value</li> </ul>
D	<b>Moderate value, locally important</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites containing some semi-natural habitat or locally important for wildlife.</li> <li>• Small water bodies with some coarse fisheries value or some potential salmonid habitat.</li> <li>• Any water body with unpolluted water (Q-value rating 4-5).</li> </ul>
E	<b>Low value, locally important</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Artificial or highly modified habitats with low species diversity and low wildlife value.</li> <li>• Water bodies with no current fisheries value and no significant potential fisheries value.</li> </ul>

SAC = Special Area of Conservation

SPA = Special Protection Area

NHA = Natural Heritage Area

## **Appendix 6.3**

---

### **Site Synopsis**

**Site Name: Kilduff, Devilsbit Mountain SAC**

**Site Code: 000934**

This upland site is situated approximately 6 km north-west of Templemore in Co. Tipperary. It comprises the summit of Devilsbit Mountain and much of the eastern side of the ridge which extends northwards to Kilduff Mountain. Most of the site lies above 250 m and the highest point is 480 m. Devilsbit Mountain is composed of Silurian grits.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (\* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

[4030] Dry Heath [6230] Species-rich <i>Nardus</i> Grassland*
--

The main habitats found within the site are upland grassland, heath and woodland. Heath dominates the upper slopes and the summit of Devilsbit Mountain, and the site contains small areas of good quality dry heath.

Upland acid grassland covers extensive areas, and is relatively herb-rich. There is a diversity of vegetation communities found, as well as plant species. Populations of the rare Small-white Orchid (*Pseudorchis albida*), a species which is protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 1999, occur in such areas of unimproved grassland. Associated species include Sheep's-fescue (*Festuca ovina*), Great Wood-rush (*Luzula sylvatica*), Devil's-bit Scabious (*Succisa pratensis*) and Bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*).

The central wooded area is a relic of former planting. Small groves of mature Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and oak (*Quercus* sp.) trees persist on the higher slopes. Naturally-regenerating Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) woodland with willow (*Salix* spp.) dominates a wet area traversed by streams near the eastern boundary of the site. Elsewhere on the site, woodland is mixed and comprises Beech, Alder, Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), Hazel (*Corylus avellana*) and Hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*).

Peregrine, a species listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, breeds within the site.

The site is heavily grazed by cattle and sheep. Agricultural improvement and afforestation are the main threats, particularly to those areas of unimproved grassland found within the site.

The site is of conservation importance due to the presence of two habitats listed in Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive, dry heath and species-rich *Nardus* grassland, the latter being of priority status. The presence of the rare and protected Small-white Orchid adds significantly to the value of the site.

## SITE SYNOPSIS

**SITE NAME: TEMPLEMORE WOOD**

**SITE CODE: 000942**

Templemore Wood is a small lake and woodland situated in Templemore, Co. Tipperary.

Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) is the dominant canopy species with a lower canopy of Hazel (*Corylus avellana*) and oak (*Quercus* spp.). The herb layer is quite diverse and includes Great Wood-rush (*Luzula sylvatica*), Bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus*), Wood-sorrel (*Oxalis acetosella*), Barren Strawberry (*Potentilla sterilis*), Bugle (*Ajuga reptans*), Herb-Robert (*Geranium robertianum*), Ivy (*Hedera helix*), Wild Madder (*Rubia peregrina*), Broad Buckler-fern (*Dryopteris dilatata*) and *Rhytidiadelphus triquetrus*. Rhododendron (*Rhododendron ponticum*) also occurs in the wood.

A feature of the area is the presence of drainage trenches which have been cut at regular intervals throughout the woodland. The permanence of water in the trenches is unknown although the profuse growth of ferns and mosses suggests that the area is generally wet.

The lake is shallow and contains much Common Reed (*Phragmites australis*). Thin-spiked Wood-sedge (*Carex strigosa*) was recorded at the lake – this species is rare elsewhere.

The bird life of the site is of interest with Blackcaps, Garden Warblers and Sparrowhawks reported from here. The lake contains a population of Mallard.

The lake and woodland are used for recreational purposes. Dumping of rubbish occurs along the woodland boundaries and the lake is filling up due to deposition of plant remains. Rhododendron also poses a threat.

9.12.2009



## **SITE SYNOPSIS**

**SITE NAME: AGHSMEAR HOUSE**

**SITE CODE: 002060**

This site is a nursery roost of the Natterer's Bat (*Myotis nattereri*). Approximately 100 bats roost in a stone out-building in the grounds of Aghsmear House, outside Roscrea, Co. Tipperary. Although the site has not been visited since 1987, there is nothing to support that the bats have been disturbed. The owner of the building is well disposed towards the bats.

As the national population of this species is estimated to be only several thousand, this site is of national importance. Also, as this species is at the western edge of its European distribution in Ireland (it is considered "vulnerable" throughout Europe), this site is of international importance.

As this species prefers to forage close to woodland, any changes to existing woodland in the vicinity of this roost would adversely affect a colony of this size.

8.12.2009

## **SITE SYNOPSIS**

**SITE NAME: ORMOND'S MILL, LOUGHMOE, TEMPLEMORE**

**SITE CODE: 002066**

This bat site is located in a stone building at Ormond's Mill, in Loughmoe, Templemore, Co. Tipperary. It contains a colony of Natterer's Bat (*Myotis nattereri*) and Brown Long-eared Bat (*Plecotus auritus*). Although the number of Natterer's Bat recorded at the site is small (12 were recorded in 1989), it is likely that the colony is a sizable one. An emergence count would reveal a more realistic figure.

As the national population of Natterer's Bat is estimated to be only several thousand, this nursery roost is of national importance, as is the colony (approximately 40 bats) of Brown Long-eared Bat. The present owner is well disposed towards the bats.

Both species of bats recorded here are dependent on woodland so any changes to existing woodland around the town could adversely affect these colonies by reducing the availability of suitable foraging grounds.

8.12.2009

**Site Name: Lower River Suir SAC**

**Site Code: 002137**

Lower River Suir SAC consists of the freshwater stretches of the River Suir immediately south of Thurles, the tidal stretches as far as the confluence with the Barrow/Nore immediately east of Cheekpoint in Co. Waterford, and many tributaries including the Clodiagh in Co. Waterford, the Lingaun, Anner, Nier, Tar, Aherlow, Multeen and Clodiagh in Co. Tipperary. The Suir and its tributaries flow through the counties of Tipperary, Kilkenny and Waterford.

Upstream of Waterford city, the swinging meanders of the Suir criss-cross the Devonian sandstone rim of hard rocks no less than three times as they leave the limestone-floored downfold below Carrick-on-Suir. In the vicinity of Carrick-on-Suir the river follows the limestone floor of the Carrick Syncline. Upstream of Clonmel the river and its tributaries traverse Upper Palaeozoic Rocks, mainly the Lower Carboniferous Viséan and Tournaisian. The freshwater stretches of the Clodiagh River in Co. Waterford traverse Silurian rocks, through narrow bands of Old Red Sandstone and Lower Avonian Shales, before reaching the carboniferous limestone close to its confluence with the Suir. The Aherlow River flows through a Carboniferous limestone valley, with outcrops of Old Red Sandstone forming the Galtee Mountains to the south and the Slievenamuck range to the north. Glacial deposits of sands and gravels are common along the valley bottom, flanking the present-day river course.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (\* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

- |   |
|---|
| <p>[1330] Atlantic Salt Meadows</p> <p>[1410] Mediterranean Salt Meadows</p> <p>[3260] Floating River Vegetation</p> <p>[6430] Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities</p> <p>[91A0] Old Oak Woodlands</p> <p>[91E0] Alluvial Forests*</p> <p>[91J0] Yew Woodlands*</p><br><p>[1029] Freshwater Pearl Mussel (<i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i>)</p> <p>[1092] White-clawed Crayfish (<i>Austropotamobius pallipes</i>)</p> <p>[1095] Sea Lamprey (<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>)</p> <p>[1096] Brook Lamprey (<i>Lampetra planeri</i>)</p> <p>[1099] River Lamprey (<i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>)</p> |
|---|

- [1103] Twaite Shad (*Alosa fallax*)  
 [1106] Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*)  
 [1355] Otter (*Lutra lutra*)

Alluvial wet woodland is a declining habitat type in Europe as a result of drainage and reclamation. The best examples of this type of woodland in the site are found on the islands just below Carrick-on-Suir and at Fiddown Island. Species occurring here include Almond Willow (*Salix triandra*), White Willow (*S. alba*), Rusty Willow (*S. cinerea* subsp. *oleifolia*), Osier (*S. viminalis*), with Yellow Iris (*Iris pseudacorus*), Hemlock Water-dropwort (*Oenanthe crocata*), Wild Angelica (*Angelica sylvestris*), Pendulous Sedge (*Carex pendula*), Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*) and Common Valerian (*Valeriana officinalis*). The terrain is littered with dead trunks and branches and intersected with small channels which carry small streams to the river. The bryophyte and lichen floras appear to be rich. A small plot is currently being coppiced and managed by the National Parks and Wildlife Service. In the drier areas species such as Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), Hazel (*Corylus avellana*), Hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*) and Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*) occur.

Eutrophic tall herb vegetation occurs in association with the various areas of alluvial forest and elsewhere where the floodplain of the river is intact. Characteristic species of the habitat include Meadowsweet, Purple Loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), Marsh Ragwort (*Senecio aquaticus*), Ground Ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*) and Hedge Bindweed (*Calystegia sepium*).

Old oak woodlands are also of importance at the site. The best examples are seen in Portlaw Wood which lies on both sides of the Clodiagh River. On the south-facing side the stand is more open and the oaks (mainly Pedunculate Oak, *Quercus robur*) are well grown and spreading. Ivy (*Hedera helix*) and Bramble (*Rubus fruticosus* agg.) are common on the ground, indicating relatively high light conditions. Oak regeneration is dense, varying in age from 0-40 years and Holly (*Ilex aquifolium*) is fairly common but mostly quite young. Across the valley, by contrast, the trees are much more closely spaced and though taller, are poorly grown on average. There are no clearings; large oaks extend to the boundary wall. In the darker conditions, Ivy is much rarer and Holly much more frequent, forming a closed canopy in places. Oak regeneration is uncommon since there are as yet few natural clearings. The shallowness of the soil on the north-facing slope probably contributes to the poor tree growth there. The acid nature of the substrate has induced a 'mountain' type oakwood community to develop. The site is quite species-rich throughout, including an abundance of mosses, liverworts and lichens. The rare lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria*, an indicator of ancient woodlands, is found here.

Inchinsquillib Wood consists of three small separate sloping blocks of woodland in a valley cut by the young Multeen River and its tributaries through acidic Old Red Sandstone and Silurian rocks. Two blocks, both with an eastern aspect, located to the north of the road, are predominantly of Sessile Oak (*Quercus petraea*) and Hazel, with Downy Birch (*Betula pubescens*), Ash and Holly. The ground flora is quite mixed with,

for example, Wood-sedge (*Carex sylvatica*), Bluebell (*Hyacinthoides non-scripta*), Primrose (*Primula vulgaris*), Wood-sorrel (*Oxalis acetosella*), Pignut (*Conopodium majus*) and Hard Fern (*Blechnum spicant*). The base poor nature of the underlying rock is to some extent masked by the overlying drift. The third block, to the south of the road, and with a northern aspect, is a similar although less mature mixture of Sessile Oak, Birch and Holly. Here the influence of the drift is more marked, with the occurrence of Wood Anemone (*Anemone nemorosa*) amongst the ground flora.

Two stands of Yew (*Taxus baccata*) woods, a rare habitat in Ireland and the E.U., occur within the site. These are on limestone ridges at Shanbally and Cahir Park. Both are in woods planted with non-native species, including conifers. However, the area at Cahir Park is fairly substantial in size and includes some relatively undisturbed patches of wood and some very old trees. Regeneration of the Yew trees is mostly poor, due to competition from species such as Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) and, at Shanbally, due to heavy grazing by goats. Other native species which occur with the Yew trees include Ash, Pedunculate Oak, Hazel and Spindle (*Euonymus europaeus*). Future prospects for these Yew woods are good as the sites are proposed for restoration under a Coillte E.U. LIFE programme.

Floating river vegetation is evident in the freshwater stretches of the River Suir and along many of its tributaries. Typical species found include Canadian Pondweed (*Elodea canadensis*), water-milfoils (*Myriophyllum* spp.), Fennel Pondweed (*Potamogeton pectinatus*), Curled Pondweed (*P. crispus*), Perfoliate Pondweed (*P. perfoliatus*), Pond Water-crowfoot (*Ranunculus peltatus*), other crowfoots (*Ranunculus* spp.) and the moss *Fontinalis antipyretica*. At a couple of locations along the river Opposite-leaved Pondweed (*Groenlandia densa*) occurs. This species is protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 1999.

The Aherlow River is fast flowing and mostly follows a natural unmodified river channel. Submerged vegetation includes the aquatic moss *Fontinalis antipyretica* and Stream Water-crowfoot (*R. pectinatus*), while shallow areas support species such as Reed Canary-grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), Brooklime (*Veronica beccabunga*) and Water Mint (*Mentha aquatica*). The river bank is fringed in places with Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) and willows (*Salix* spp.).

The Multeen River is fast flowing, mostly gravel-bottomed and appears to follow a natural unmodified river channel. Water-crowfoots occur in abundance and the aquatic moss *Fontinalis antipyretica* is also common. In sheltered shallows, species such as Water-cress (*Nasturtium officinale*) and water-starworts (*Callitriche* spp.) occur. The river channel is fringed for most of its length with Alder, Willow and a narrow strip of marshy vegetation.

Salt meadows occur below Waterford City in old meadows where the embankment is absent, or has been breached, and along the tidal stretches of some of the inflowing rivers below Little Island. There are very narrow, non-continuous bands of this habitat along both banks. More extensive areas are also seen along the south bank at Ballynakill, the east side of Little Island, and in three large salt meadows

between Ballynakill and Cheekpoint. The Atlantic and Mediterranean sub-types are generally intermixed. The species list is extensive and includes Red Fescue (*Festuca rubra*), oraches (*Atriplex* spp.), Sea Aster (*Aster tripolium*), Sea Couch (*Elymus pycnanthus*), frequent Sea Milkwort (*Glaux maritima*), occasional Wild Celery (*Apium graveolens*), Parsley Water-dropwort (*Oenanthe lachenalii*), English Scurvygrass (*Cochlearia anglica*) and Sea Arrowgrass (*Triglochin maritima*). These species are more representative of the Atlantic sub-type of the habitat. Common Cord-grass (*Spartina anglica*), is rather frequent along the main channel edge and up the internal channels. The legally protected (Flora (Protection) Order, 1999) Meadow Barley (*Hordeum secalinum*) grows at the landward transition of the saltmarsh. Sea Rush (*Juncus maritimus*), an indicator of the Mediterranean salt meadows, also occurs.

Other habitats at the site include wet and dry grassland, marsh, reedswamp, improved grassland, coniferous plantations, deciduous woodland, scrub, tidal river, stony shore and mudflats. The most dominant habitat adjoining the river is improved grassland, although there are wet fields with species such as Yellow Iris, Meadowsweet, rushes (*Juncus* spp.), Meadow Buttercup (*Ranunculus acris*) and Cuckooflower (*Cardamine pratensis*).

Cabragh marshes, just below Thurles, lie in a low-lying tributary valley into which the main river floods in winter. Here there is an extensive area of Common Reed (*Phragmites australis*) with associated marshland and peaty fen. The transition between vegetation types is often well displayed. A number of wetland plants of interest occur, in particular the Narrow-leaved Bulrush (*Typha angustifolia*), Bottle Sedge (*Carex rostrata*) and Blunt-flowered Rush (*Juncus subnodulosus*). The marsh is naturally eutrophic but it has also the nutritional legacy of the former sugar factory which discharged into it through a number of holding lagoons, now removed. Production is high, which is seen in the size of such species as Celery-leaved Buttercup (*Ranunculus sceleratus*), as well as in the reeds themselves.

Throughout the Lower River Suir site are small areas of woodland other than those described above. These tend to be a mixture of native and non-native species, although there are some areas of semi-natural wet woodland with species such as Ash and willow. Cahir Park Woodlands is a narrow tract of mixed deciduous woodland lying on the flat-lying floodplain of the River Suir. This estate woodland was planted over one hundred years ago and it contains a large component of exotic tree species. However, due to original planting and natural regeneration there is now a good mix of native and exotic species. About 5 km north-west of Cashel, Ardmayle pond is a long, possibly artificial water body running parallel to the River Suir. It is partly shaded by planted Lime (*Tilia* hybrids), Sycamore and the native Alder. Growing beneath the trees are shade tolerant species such as Remote sedge (*Carex remota*).

The site is of particular conservation interest for the presence of a number of Annex II animal species, including Freshwater Pearl Mussel (both *Margaritifera margaritifera* and *M. margaritifera* subsp. *durrovensis* occur), White-clawed Crayfish, Salmon, Twaité Shad (*Alosa fallax fallax*), three species of Lampreys - Sea Lamprey, Brook



Lamprey and River Lamprey, and Otter. This is one of only three known spawning grounds in the country for Twaite Shad.

The site also supports populations of several other animal species. Those which are listed in the Irish Red Data Book include Daubenton's Bat, Natterer's Bat, Pipistrelle Bat, Pine Marten, Badger, Irish Hare, Smelt and Common Frog. Breeding stocks of Carp are found in Kilsheelan Lake. This is one of only two lakes in the country which is known to have supported breeding Carp. Carp require unusually high summer water temperatures to breed in Ireland. As the site is therefore unusual in this regard, it may also support interesting invertebrate populations.

Parts of the site have also been identified as of ornithological importance for a number of Annex I (E.U. Birds Directive) bird species, including Greenland White-fronted Goose (10), Golden Plover (1,490), Whooper Swan (7) and Kingfisher. Figures given in brackets are the average maximum counts from four count areas within the site for the three winters 1994-1997. Wintering populations of migratory birds use the site. Flocks are seen in Coolfinn Marsh and also along the reedbeds and saltmarsh areas of the Suir. Coolfinn supports nationally important numbers of Greylag Goose on a regular basis, with numbers between 600 and 700 recorded. Other species occurring include Mallard (21), Teal (159), Wigeon (26), Tufted Duck (60), Pintail (4), Pochard (2), Little Grebe (2), Black-tailed Godwit (20), Oystercatcher (16), Lapwing (993), Dunlin (101), Curlew (195), Redshank (28), Greenshank (4) and Green Sandpiper (1). Nationally important numbers of Lapwing (2,750) were recorded at Faithlegg in the winter of 1996/97. In Cabragh marshes there is abundant food for surface feeding wildfowl which total approximately 1,000 in winter. Widgeon, Teal and Mallard are numerous, and the latter has a large breeding population, with up to 400 in summer. In addition, less frequent species like Shoveler and Pintail occur and there are records for both Whooper and Bewick's swans. Kingfisher, a species that is listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, occurs along some of the many tributaries throughout the site.

Land use at the site consists mainly of agricultural activities including grazing, silage production, fertilising and land reclamation. The grassland is intensively managed and the rivers are therefore vulnerable to pollution from run-off of fertilisers and slurry. Arable crops are also grown. Fishing is a main tourist attraction on stretches of the Suir and some of its tributaries, and there are a number of Angler Associations, some with a number of beats. Fishing stands and styles have been erected in places. Both commercial and leisure fishing takes place on the rivers. The Aherlow River is a designated Salmonid Water under the E.U. Freshwater Fish Directive. Other recreational activities such as boating, golfing and walking are also popular. Several industrial developments, which discharge into the river, border the site including three dairy related operations and a tannery.

The Lower River Suir contains excellent examples of a number of Annex I habitats, including the priority habitats alluvial forest and Yew woodland. The site also supports populations of several important animal species, some listed on Annex II of the Habitats Directive or listed in the Irish Red Data Book. The presence of two



legally protected plants (Flora (Protection) Order, 1999) and the ornithological importance of the site adds further to the ecological interest and importance.

## **Appendix 6.4**

---

### **Natura Impact Statement**

# **River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

## **Natura Impact Statement**

January 2015

TOBIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS



# REPORT

**PROJECT:**

**River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme**

**CLIENT:**

**The Office of Public Works (OPW)**  
52 St Stephens Green  
Dublin 2

**COMPANY:**

**TOBIN Consulting Engineers**  
Block 10-4  
Blanchardstown Corporate Park  
Dublin 15

[www.tobin.ie](http://www.tobin.ie)

## DOCUMENT AMENDMENT RECORD

**Client:** Office of Public Works

**Project:** River Mall (Templemore) Drainage Scheme

**Title:** Natura Impact Statement

PROJECT NUMBER: 7452				DOCUMENT REF: 7452-01			
D	Natura Impact Statement	SM	090115	KH/RM	210115	DG	210115
C	Natura Impact Statement	SM	281114	RM	021214	DG	021214
B	Natura Impact Statement	KH	040914	AAM	080914	DG	080914
A	Natura Impact Statement	SM	030714	RM	210714	DG	290714
<b>Revision</b>	<b>Description &amp; Rationale</b>	<b>Originated</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Checked</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Authorised</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>TOBIN Consulting Engineers</b>							

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT .....	3
1.2	GUIDANCE.....	4
1.3	APPROACH .....	5
<b>2</b>	<b>STAGE 1 - SCREENING FOR APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	INTRODUCTION .....	7
2.2	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT .....	7
2.3	DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENT .....	11
2.4	IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT EUROPEAN SITES .....	11
2.5	IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS.....	12
2.6	SCREENING CONCLUSION .....	12
<b>3</b>	<b>STAGE 2 – NATURA IMPACT STATEMENT .....</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	INFORMATION REQUIRED .....	13
3.2	CONSULTATION .....	13
3.3	DESKTOP STUDY .....	14
3.4	FIELD STUDIES.....	14
3.5	HABITATS AND FLORA .....	15
3.6	OTTER .....	15
3.7	AQUATIC ECOLOGY .....	15
3.8	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT .....	16
3.8.1	<i>Qualifying habitats .....</i>	<i>16</i>
3.8.2	<i>Qualifying Terrestrial Fauna .....</i>	<i>17</i>
3.8.3	<i>Qualifying Aquatic Fauna.....</i>	<i>17</i>
3.8.4	<i>Conservation Objectives.....</i>	<i>18</i>
3.8.5	<i>Lower River Suir SAC.....</i>	<i>19</i>
3.8.6	<i>Qualifying interests excluded from the assessment .....</i>	<i>20</i>
3.8.7	<i>Qualifying interests considered and their sensitivities .....</i>	<i>21</i>
3.9	POTENTIAL IMPACTS.....	23
3.9.1	<i>Alone.....</i>	<i>23</i>
3.9.2	<i>Otter .....</i>	<i>24</i>
3.9.3	<i>Salmon, River Lamprey Brook Lamprey &amp; White Clawed Crayfish.....</i>	<i>24</i>
3.9.4	<i>Potential in-combination effects.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<b>3.9.4.1</b>	<b><u>Agriculture.....</u></b>	<b><u>26</u></b>
<b>3.9.4.2</b>	<b><u>Forestry .....</u></b>	<b><u>27</u></b>
<b>3.9.4.3</b>	<b><u>WWTP's .....</u></b>	<b><u>27</u></b>
<b>3.9.4.4</b>	<b><u>Plans .....</u></b>	<b><u>27</u></b>
3.10	MITIGATION MEASURES .....	28

3.10.1	Otter – Both Scheme Scenarios .....	28
3.10.2	Salmon, River Lamprey Brook Lamprey & White Clawed Crayfish – Scheme Scenario A (using existing and new flood channel).....	28
<b>3.10.2.1</b>	<b>Mitigation by design .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3.10.2.2</b>	<b>Mitigation by avoidance .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>3.10.2.3</b>	<b>Mitigation by remedy .....</b>	<b>29</b>
3.10.3	Salmon, River Lamprey Brook Lamprey & White Clawed Crayfish – Scheme Scenario B (new channel only).....	30
<b>3.10.3.1</b>	<b>Mitigation by design .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>3.10.3.2</b>	<b>Mitigation by avoidance .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>3.10.3.3</b>	<b>Mitigation by remedy .....</b>	<b>31</b>
3.11	PREDICTED IMPACTS .....	32
3.12	CONCLUSION OF STAGE 2 .....	32

## **TABLES , FIGURES & APPENDICES**

### **TABLES**

Table 3-1	EPA Water Quality Results .....	18
Table 3-2	Features Excluded from this Assessment .....	21

### **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Natura 2000 Sites .....	2
Figure 2	Upstream Defences and the River Diversion .....	9
Figure 3	Downstream Works .....	10

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1	Site Synopsis Lower River Suir SAC
Appendix 2	Bibliography



## 1 INTRODUCTION

This report details a Natura Impact statement (NIS) which relates to the proposed flood relief scheme on the Mall River at Templemore. This NIS is to inform the Appropriate Assessment (AA) process which is carried out by the appropriate planning authority (i.e. Tipperary County Council).

Appropriate Assessment is an assessment of the potential effects of a plan or project, in combination with other plans or projects, on a European Site (Natura 2000 site); refer to legislative context Section 1.1 below. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has also been submitted for this development and this NIS is an Appendix report to provide detailed consideration specifically of Natura 2000 sites and in particular qualifying interests for these sites which are the primary consideration for AA.


The project design to date has been an iterative approach which has sought to, as far as possible; avoid impacts to Natura 2000 sites. This report considers the final carefully considered design. It determines if direct, indirect and in-combination effects arise; or if there is uncertainty regarding effects. Given the “precautionary principle” requirements of AA mitigation is detailed to reduce/ remove potential impacts; or possible uncertainty regarding potential impacts.

Given the nature of the works it was determined that an NIS should be completed for this project as in the absence of mitigation there is uncertainty whether the project alone or in combination with other plans and projects, is likely to have significant effects on the Lower River Suir Special Area of Conservation (SAC) (002137) in view of its conservation objectives. No other European sites are determined which are likely to be affected by the proposed scheme.

The location of the proposed scheme relative to Natura 2000 sites in the area is detailed in Figure 1 overleaf. A description of the Lower River Suir SAC is provided in Appendix A.

This report was drafted by experienced trained Ecologists from TOBIN Consulting Engineers with input from Ecofact Consultants regarding aquatic ecology impacts based on a review of their aquatic ecology assessment drafted for the project EIS. An experienced TOBIN Hydro-geologist, with a scientific background in determining potential water quality risk sources, was also consulted regarding potential impacts to water based ecological receptors.





Legend

- SAC - Special Area of Conservation
- SPA - Special Protection Area

0 0.5 1 2 3 4  
Kilometres

NOTES

1. FIGURED DIMENSIONS ONLY TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS DRAWING
2. ALL DRAWINGS TO BE CHECKED BY THE CONTRACTOR ON SITE
3. ENGINEER TO BE INFORMED OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE ANY WORK COMMENCES
4. ALL LEVELS RELATE TO ORDNANCE SURVEY DATUM AT MALIN HEAD

A	16.07.14	Issued	G.F.	A.A.
Issue	Date	Description	By	Chkd.

Client:



Project:

TEMPLEMORE  
FLOOD RELIEF SCHEME  
EIS

Title:

NATURA 2000  
SITES

Scale @ A3: 1:120,000

Prepared by: G.Fil Checked: A. Austin Date: July 2014

Project Director: D.Grehan



Consulting, Civil and Structural Engineers,  
Block 10-4, Blanchardstown Corporate Park,  
Dublin 15, Ireland.  
tel: +353-(0)1-8030406  
fax: +353-(0)1-8030409  
e-mail: info@tobin.ie  
www.tobin.ie

No part of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without the written permission of Patrick J. Tobin & Co. Ltd. as copyright holder except as agreed for use on the project for which the document was originally issued.

Figure 1



## 1.1 Legislative Context

The AA process is an assessment of the potential adverse or negative effects of a plan or project, in combination with other plans or projects, on a European Site (Natura 2000 site). The Natura 2000 network is made up of Special Protection Areas (SPAs), established under the EU Birds Directive (79/409/EEC), and Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), established under the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC). Ireland's contribution to Natura 2000 is being created under the European Communities (Natural Habitats) Regulations, 1997 (S.I. 94 of 1997 as amended by S.I. 233 of 1998 and S.I. 378 of 2005). These regulations transpose the EU directives into Irish national Law. The Natura 2000 network helps provide for the protection and long-term survival of Europe's most valuable and threatened species and habitats. Although not specifically required, it would be considered best practice to include Ramsar sites (classified under the Ramsar Convention 1971) in the assessment process.

The requirement of AA is outlined in Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the European Union Habitats Directive.

Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires that:-

*“Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public.”*

And Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive requires that:-

*“If, in spite of a negative assessment of the implications for the site and in the absence of alternative solutions, a plan or project must nevertheless be carried out for imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature, the Member State shall take all compensatory measures necessary to ensure that the overall coherence of Natura 2000 is protected. It shall inform the Commission of the compensatory measures adopted.”*

The assessment should be based on best scientific knowledge and planning authorities should ensure that, for Stage 2 (Appropriate Assessment) in particular, ecological and hydrological expertise (if relevant) is utilised. This report details a NIS to inform the AA process which is finalised by the statutory authority. This NIS was informed by desk and field studies.

## 1.2 Guidance

This report has been carried out using the following guidance:

- Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland, Guidance for Planning Authorities, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government DEHLG (2009);
- Managing Natura 2000 Sites: the provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg (EC 2000);
- Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 Sites: Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg (EC 2001);
- Guidance document on Article 6(4) of the 'Habitats Directive' 92/43/EEC – Clarification of the concepts of: alternative solutions, imperative reasons of overriding public interest, compensatory measures, overall coherence, opinion of the commission. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg (EC 2007);
- European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. No.477 of 2011); and
- Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats. Version EUR 28. European Commission (EC, 2013).

The potential for impacts on nature conservation interests has been assessed in light of habitats and the species that are likely to be affected by the proposals. The approach takes into account the following guidance:

- A Guide to Habitats in Ireland (Fossitt, 2000);
- Best Practise Guidelines for Habitat Survey and Mapping in Ireland. Heritage Council (2011);
- Guidelines on the Information to be contained in Environmental Impact Statements (EPA, 2002);
- Advice Notes on Current Practice in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Statements (EPA, 2003);
- Habitat Survey Guidelines: A Standard Methodology for Habitat Survey and Mapping in Ireland; (The Heritage Council, 2005);
- Guidelines for the Crossing of Watercourses During the Construction of National Road Schemes (NRA, 2005);
- Guidelines for the treatment of Otters Prior to the Construction of National Roads Schemes; (NRA, 2006);
- Best Practice Guidelines for the Conservation of Bats in the Planning of National Road Schemes; (NRA, 2006);

- Guidelines for the Treatment of Bats during the Construction of National Roads Schemes (NRA, 2006);
- Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment (Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (IEEM), 2006);
- Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Road Schemes (NRA, 2006);
- Requirement for the Protection of Fisheries Habitat During the Construction and Development Works at River Sites (Eastern Regional Fisheries Board);
- Countryside Bird Survey Fieldwork Guidelines (BirdWatch Ireland and National Parks and Wildlife Service); and
- Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Road Schemes (NRA, 2009).

In addition a review of online literature and mapping was conducted. This included a detailed review of the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) website ([www.npws.ie](http://www.npws.ie)) including a review of all mapping and available reports for relevant sites described.

The EPA Envision Map-viewer and available reports were also reviewed.

### 1.3 Approach

There are four main stages in the AA process; the requirements for each depending on likely impacts to Natura 2000 sites (cSAC/ SPA).

**Stage One: Screening** - This process identifies whether the proposed development is directly connected to or necessary for the management of a European Site(s) and identifies whether the project is likely to have significant impacts upon a European Site(s) either alone or in combination with other projects or plans.

**Stage Two: Appropriate Assessment** - The consideration of the impact of the project or plan on the integrity of the Natura 2000 sites defined, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans, with respect to the site's structure and function and its conservation objectives. Additionally, where there are adverse impacts, an assessment of the potential mitigation of those impacts; and mitigation to rule out these impacts is required. This stage is carried out by the planning authority and informed by a Natura Impact Statement (detailed herein). This stage is required where uncertainty of effect arises or a potential effect has been defined which requires further procedures/ mitigation to remove uncertainty or a defined impact.

**Stage Three: Assessment of Alternative Solutions** – The process which examines alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the project or plan that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site.

**Stage Four: Assessment Where Adverse Impacts Remain** - An assessment of compensatory measures where, in the light of an assessment of Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest (IROPI), it is deemed that the project or plan should proceed.

This NIS report considers likely impacts on European Sites of the projects either alone or in combination and considers whether these effects are likely to be significant.

Based on the outcomes of the ecology assessments detailed precautionary mitigation is detailed as required.

The process was progressed as follows based on DoEHLG (2009) guidelines.

## 2 STAGE 1 - SCREENING FOR APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT

### 2.1 Introduction

This stage of the process identifies any likely significant impacts upon European Sites from a project or plan, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans. The screening phase was progressed in the following stages.

A series of questions are asked during the Screening Stage of the AA process in order to determine:

- Whether a plan or project can be excluded from AA requirements because it is directly connected with or necessary to the management of a European Site; and
- Whether the project will have a potentially significant effect on a European Site, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans, in view of the site's conservation objectives or if residual uncertainty exists regarding potential impacts.

### 2.2 Description of Proposed Project

Those elements determined as requiring AA consideration are summarised as follows:


- A 60m-long inlet channel with Debris and Gravel Traps and a small Drop Weir.
- A new outflow from the lake to run under Blackcastle Road to the inlet channel.
- A flood-defence line north of the town, in line with a culvert over the new diversion.
- Relocating the river by constructing a new 805m long channel (with a 7.5m base width) that begins in Short's Field and finishes approximately 230m downstream of Small's Bridge.
- The road and access bridges will need to pass the full Climate Change flow of 21.63 m<sup>3</sup>/s.
- At Richmond Road and Church Avenue, separated by 8.4m, walls along both banks of the diversion both up and downstream.
- Starting at the confluence (approximately 230m downstream of Small's Bridge) with a bed level of 107.3m OD, the riverbed will be re-profiled to finish at 106.1m OD 480m further downstream. The riverbed will be widened to 7.5m base-width from the diversion for approximately 450m and from there a transition returns to the existing 4.5m base wide over a further 250m (or so).
- A 90m long embankment on the left bank (east side) below properties at Small's Bridge.
- A 320m long embankment to defend the Railway View Estate area.
- To improve aeration and fish movement along the excavated river and diversion, a fish channel (Thalweg) will be dug and partially backfilled with gravels. While this will likely be about 0.3m deep with a 2m wide bed and up to 5 to 1 side slopes, it will be designed and constructed in consultation with the region's Fishery Board and, along the channel, may be higher or lower and its width may vary.




- The channel from the upstream works to where the Mall discharges to the Suir is being designated for maintenance to prevent further growth by woody vegetation encroaching into the river or crowding out the flowing floodplain.
- Impacts to the landscape will be reduced by using high quality finishes to works, grassed finishes to embankments and open channel sections, and by planting replacement and new vegetation; including in-channel, where possible.

The final flood relief scheme is outlined on Drawings 2 and 3 overleaf.

**Figure 2 Upstream Defences and the River Diversion**



**Figure 3      Downstream Works**



**Figure 3 - Downstream Works**

## 2.3 Description of Existing Environment

The town of Templemore lies on the Mall River. The Mall River is part of the Suir catchment and rises approximately 6km upstream of Templemore Town in the vicinity of the Devilsbit Mountains Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The River Suir is designated as a SAC downstream of Thurles, County Tipperary, approximately 22km downstream of the proposed works. The study area includes the Mall River in Templemore and surrounding agricultural and residential land. Habitats in the study area include the river, buildings/roads associated with the town, and agricultural grasslands (both improved and wet grassland) with associated drainage ditches and hedges/treelines. The Mall River is known to support otter, brook/river lamprey, white-clawed crayfish and Atlantic salmon. The proposed Templemore Woods Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) lies adjacent to Templemore Lake, directly north of Templemore Town. Habitats here include the lake, woodland parkland and scattered mature trees.

## 2.4 Identification of Relevant European Sites

The nearest Natura 2000 designated site is Kilduff, Devils Bit Mountain SAC (Site Code 000934), which is located approximately 2.4km northwest of the proposed scheme. Qualifying Features of Interest are as follows:

- Dry Heath [4030]; and
- Species –rich Nardus Grassland [6230].

The Lower River Suir SAC (Site Code 002137) is approximately 22km downstream of the development and hence is linked to activities including the proposed scheme works in its catchment.

Qualifying Features of Interest are as follows:

- Freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*) [1029];
- White-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) [1092];
- Sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) [1095];
- Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*) [1096];
- River lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*) [1099];
- Twaite shad (*Alosa fallax*) [1103];
- Salmon (*Salmo salar*) [1106];
- Atlantic salt meadows (*Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritima*) [1330];
- Otter (*Lutra lutra*) [1355];
- Mediterranean salt meadows (*Juncetalia maritimi*) [1410];
- Water courses of plain to montane levels with the *Ranunculion fluitantis* and *Callitricho-Batrachion* vegetation [3260];
- Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels [6430];



- Old sessile oak woods with *Ilex* and *Blechnum* in British Isles [91A0];
- \*Alluvial forests with *Alnus glutinosa* and *Fraxinus excelsior* (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]; and
- \**Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles [91J0].

## 2.5 Identification of Potential Impacts

Kilduff/Devils Bit Mountain SAC is designated for terrestrial upland habitats and is located upstream of the proposed works. There is no potential for impacts to the habitats for which this SAC is designated and so assessment of this site does not need to proceed to Stage 2.

The Lower River Suir SAC is approximately 22km downstream of the proposed works. Key relevant pressures noted for this site include reduction in water quality, in-stream works and drainage. Pollution from agriculture is also a threat listed which should be considered in potential cumulative impacts. Several species for which this site is designated migrate upstream as part of their life cycle (Atlantic salmon and lamprey species). There is a possibility that populations associated with the Lower River Suir utilise the Mall River for spawning. In addition the site is designated for otter which can hold very large territories and there is a possibility that the otter population associated with the Lower River Suir could utilise the Mall River and though this is unlikely given the distance from the site. Precautionary mitigation is proposed in any case. There is potential for indirect impacts to fish and lamprey species due to a reduction in water quality from suspended solids associated with construction work. There is potential for disturbance to otter populations in the Mall River.

Potential changes to the Lower River Suir SAC therefore include:

- Short term disturbance to otter;
- Short term changes in key indicators of conservation value (water quality);
- Reduction in species density (should spawning fish be impacted); and
- Sediments released from forestry and agricultural practices in the sub-catchment of the Mall River may lead to in-combination impacts.

## 2.6 Screening Conclusion

The Mall River is upstream of the Lower River Suir SAC and thus works here and possible effects to local aquatic ecology are linked to the downstream SAC. Proposed scheme works are to take place approximately 22km upstream of the Lower River Suir SAC. Following the precautionary principle it was considered that the potential for significant impacts could not be ruled out and that mitigation would be required, hence the requirement for a Stage 2 NIS. There is no potential for significant impacts to Kilduff/Devils Bit Mountain SAC and this is screened out at this stage.

### 3 STAGE 2 – NATURA IMPACT STATEMENT

#### 3.1 Information Required

A range of sources were used to gather information to inform the assessment including:

- Consultation with National Parks and Wildlife Services (NPWS);
- Consultation with Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI);
- Detailed Desk Studies; and
- Detailed Field Studies including fisheries assessment.

#### 3.2 Consultation

##### Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government

A consultation letter was issued on the 4<sup>th</sup> June 2014 by TOBIN Consulting Engineers to the Development Applications Unit (DAU) of the Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (DAHG). No response has been received to date. A consultation response from the DAU to the previous proposed Templemore flood relief scheme in 2008 highlighted the following:

- Best practise with regard to pollution control and siltation prevention should be implemented;
- No protected flora records exist for the works area; and
- The works may potentially impact Templemore Lake and Wood proposed Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) and breeding and resting sites for otter. Suitable mitigation for adverse impacts to the pNHA and a survey for otter habitat are recommended.

A phone conversation was held with Stefan Jones, District Conservation Officer with NPWS, on 16<sup>th</sup> June 2014. He noted the potential to impact salmonids, crayfish, otter and lamprey and commented on the need for a salvage operation and mitigation measures such as silt curtains and fuel bunds to ameliorate downstream impacts. He further noted the presence of the pNHA and the potential to disturb the birds using the lake, and that there may be a possibility of kingfisher using the area. He raised concerns on the potential for impacts to the aquatic fauna of the Lower River Suir SAC.

##### Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI)

A consultation letter was issued to IFI on the 4<sup>th</sup> June 2014 by TOBIN Consulting Engineers. A response was received from the IFI stating that in principle they support the proposal to establish a new river channel including the establishment of appropriate instream and riparian habitat. They state:

- The detailed design of the new channel will be agreed in consultation with the IFI;
- No works shall be carried out without prior consultation and agreement with the IFI:

- The IFI welcome the development of proposals for linear parkland / river walks, particularly in urban areas;
- The proposal for a new river walk, while well intended is inappropriate as it is immediately adjacent the proposed diversion channel;
- IFI is of the view that the proposal should include a riparian zone of approximately 10m;
- IFI requires at least two weeks notification of the intention to divert the Mall River to the new channel so that the removal and transfer of fish from the existing channel to the new channel can be planned; and
- Diversion into the new channel can only take place during the period July to September inclusive.

### 3.3 Desktop Study

The ecological desktop study completed for the proposed scheme comprised the following elements:

- Identification of all Natura 2000 Sites within 15km of the proposed scheme study area. Refer to Figure 1;
- Review of the NPWS site synopsis and conservation objectives for Natura 2000 sites with identification of potential pathways from the proposed scheme;
- Review of records of rare and protected flora and fauna including those available in NPWS reports and the National Biodiversity Data Centre (NBDC) website;
- Review of Aquatic Ecology Report conducted by Ecofact Consultants for the project;
- Review of Ordnance Survey maps and aerial photography in order to determine broad habitats that occur within the study area;
- Review of any other relevant ecological reports and literature (Bibliography detailed in Appendix 2);
- Review of surveys and reports produced as part of previous studies conducted for the proposed scheme; and
- A series of ecological desk and field studies were undertaken. This included collation of information on protected species including bats, otters, bird species (including Annex I species), Annex II habitat types, protected and Red Data Book flora species, invertebrates and amphibians; as relevant to Natura 2000 sites and their qualifying interests.

### 3.4 Field Studies

TOBIN Consulting Engineers undertook site visits to carry out habitat and general mammal assessments on the 9<sup>th</sup> May and 10<sup>th</sup> June 2014. A bat survey was carried out on the 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2014. Field studies were also carried out by TOBIN Ecologists in the study area during 2008.



### 3.5 Habitats and Flora

The habitat assessment was conducted within the site boundary and took in adjacent land in accordance with The Heritage Council's methodology, Best Practice Guidance for Habitat Survey and Mapping (Smith *et. al*, 2011) and habitats were classified according to The Heritage Council's *A Guide to Habitats in Ireland* (Fossitt, 2000). Plant identification and nomenclature principally follows Webb *et al.* (1996)<sup>1</sup>. Grass and fern identification and nomenclature was further assisted by Rose (1989)<sup>2</sup>. The predominant plant species for each habitat type were recorded in order to accurately determine habitats present on the site.

### 3.6 Otter

Specific surveys were conducted for otter which primarily involved searching the Mall River corridor and adjacent Templemore Lake, for evidence/signs of otter (e.g. tracks, spraints, holts and occasionally direct sightings). An assessment of the habitats within the works area in terms of their importance for otter was also made.

### 3.7 Aquatic Ecology

The aquatic ecology methods implemented by Ecofact Consultants in 2014 were as follows.

The survey methods consisted of a habitat assessment, electrical fishing, a white-clawed crayfish survey and biological sampling. An assessment of the aquatic habitat was carried out at the aquatic survey sites using the methodology given in the Environment Agency's '*River Habitat Survey in Britain and Ireland Field Survey Guidance Manual 2003*' (EA, 2003). Aquatic plants as well as rare and/or protected plant species and non-native flora were recorded at each site. Plant species nomenclature follows Stace '*New Flora of the British Isles*' (1997).

The results of the physical habitat study were used in conjunction with the leaflet '*The Evaluation of habitat for Salmon and Trout*' to assess habitat suitability for salmonids. An opinion of lamprey habitats was made within the study area with reference to NPWS Irish Wildlife Manuals lamprey surveys (O'Connor, 2004; O'Connor, 2006; and O'Connor, 2007). A bathyscope was used to aid underwater observation of habitats/substrates. The results of the physical habitat study were used in conjunction with the publication '*Ecology of the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey*' (Maitland, 2003) which details the life cycle of lampreys including their spawning habitat requirements.

Habitat for macroinvertebrates was assessed using Barbour and Stribling (1991) where bottom substrate, habitat complexity and various other physical features were considered in assessment of sites for their suitability for macroinvertebrate production.

---

<sup>1</sup> Webb, D.A., Parnell, J., & Doogue, D. 1996. *An Irish Flora*. Dundalgan Press (W. Tempest) Ltd., Dundalk.

<sup>2</sup> Rose, F. 1989. *Colour Identification Guide to the Grasses, Sedges, Rushes and Ferns of the British Isles and north-western Europe*. Viking

Electrical fishing was carried out during normal / low water levels during July 2014. Electrical fishing assessments were carried out under authorisation from the Department of Communication, Energy and Natural Resources under Section 14 of the Fisheries Act (1980). Electrical fishing specifically for salmonids was carried out at each site following the methodology outlined in the CFB guidance "Methods for the Water Framework Directive - Electric fishing in wadable reaches". Juvenile lamprey surveys generally followed the methodology for ammocoete surveys given in the manual 'Monitoring the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey, *Lampetra fluviatilis*, *L. planeri* and *Petromyzon marinus* by Harvey & Cowx (2003).

White-clawed crayfish surveys were carried out under licence from National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) (Licence C059 / 2014). Methodology for White-clawed Crayfish surveying followed recognised procedures (hand searching and sweep netting) given in the manual 'A technical manual for monitoring white-clawed crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes* in Irish lakes' by Reynolds *et al* (2010).

Semi-quantitative sampling of benthic (or bottom dwelling) aquatic macroinvertebrates was undertaken at selected sites using kick-sampling (Toner *et al*, 2005). Stone washings and vegetation sweeps were also undertaken to ensure a representative sample of the fauna present at each site was collected. The Quality Rating (Q) System (Toner *et al.*, 2005) was used to obtain a water quality rating for each site.

### 3.8 Existing environment

#### 3.8.1 Qualifying habitats

The proposed works are to take place within Templemore Town and the surrounding residential area. Habitats identified during site visits/habitat surveys as possibly within the works area of the proposed scheme are as follows:

- Eroding/Upland Rivers (FW1);
- Drainage ditches (FW4);
- Wet Grassland (GS4);
- Improved Agricultural Grassland (GA1);
- Scattered trees and parkland (WD5);
- Hedgerows (WL1);
- Treelines (WL2);
- Re-colonising bare ground (ED3); and
- Buildings and artificial surfaces (BL3).

No qualifying habitat or flora in the Lower River Suir SAC will be affected by the scheme.

### 3.8.2 *Qualifying Terrestrial Fauna*

No otter signs were noted in 2014 surveys.

In 2008 otter signs were noted along the Mall River. An otter spraint and otter print was observed 50m upstream and immediately downstream of O'Dwyer's Bridge respectively. In addition an otter spraint and slide was noted close to where the Mall River passes under the Blackcastle Road, close to Patrick Street.

The site includes potential foraging habitat and a commuting corridor for otter. Given otters very widespread distribution it is likely that otter use the Mall River area at least on occasion.

### 3.8.3 *Qualifying Aquatic Fauna*

The Mall River was found to be of significant local importance for aquatic fauna and forms part of the overall habitat in the River Suir catchment linked to the SAC. Four species listed on Annex II of the EU Habitats Directive were recorded in 2008 and 2014 surveys. These include otter, brook/river lamprey, white-clawed crayfish and Atlantic salmon. The Mall River is thus of local significance in maintaining the favourable conservation status of these species. The protection of these species and the improvement of aquatic habitats and water quality within the river are considered to be the primary considerations in regard to the conservation aspects of the overall Lower River Suir SAC.

The nearest records of freshwater pearl mussel from the Multeen River from 2006, a tributary of the Suir approximately 30km south of Templemore (10km squares S04 and R94) in the National Biodiversity Data Centre database (EPA biologist data). This river is not significantly linked to possible effects from the proposed scheme.

Water quality is a key consideration for maintaining favourable conservation status for aquatic qualifying species in the Lower River Suir SAC detailed. The Mall River is within the Upper River Suir catchment (IE\_SE\_SuirUpper) and within the IE\_SE\_16\_3997 waterbody. The Suir Upper Water Management Unit Action Plan highlights that the catchment is at risk mainly from agriculture and WWTPs, and states that it is not at risk from forestry, quarries, mines or landfills. The Mall River channel is considered 'possibly at risk of not achieving good status' while the upper channel of the River Suir is considered 'at risk of not achieving good status'.

There are no monitoring stations in the Mall River. The nearest monitoring stations are located at Knocknageragh Bridge approximately 2.5km upstream of the confluence with the Mall River and Penane Bridge approximately 1km downstream of this confluence. Monitoring data results for 2005, 2008, 2011 for Knocknageragh and Penane Bridge stations is available and presented in Table 3-1.

The EPA water quality records ([www.epa.ie/Envision](http://www.epa.ie/Envision)) indicate the river water quality for the Knocknageragh Bridge and Penane Bridge to be of a moderate status, Q3-4, from 2004 to present day.

**Table 3-1 EPA Water Quality Results**

EPA Water Quality Results			
Station	2005	2008	2011
Knocknageragh Br (RS16S020300)	Q3-4	Q3-4	Q3-4
Penane Br (RS16S020300)	Q3	Q3	Q3-4

The Q values would suggest that water quality has improved slightly since a new WwTP plant in Templemore was opened in 2010.

Kick samples taken during the surveys for the proposed works in 2008 and 2014 indicate that the Mall River is moderately polluted with ratings of Q3/Q3-4. This indicates that the Mall River water quality is potentially improving which is a positive measure for maintaining favourable conservation status of described aquatic qualifying species.

#### 3.8.4 Conservation Objectives

The first step is to determine key qualifying interests of relevant Natura 2000 sites requiring consideration. The proposed scheme must be considered in light of the conservation objectives for the site (qualifying interests) detailed below.

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing; the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future; and the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats; the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future; and there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

### 3.8.5 Lower River Suir SAC

This site consists of the freshwater stretches of the River Suir immediately south of Thurles, the tidal stretches as far as the confluence with the Barrow/Nore immediately east of Cheekpoint in County Waterford and many tributaries including the Clodiagh in County Waterford, the Lingaun, Anner, Nier, Tar, Aherlow, Multeen and Clodiagh in County Tipperary. The Suir and its tributaries flow through the counties of Tipperary, Kilkenny and Waterford. The full site synopsis is included in Appendix 1.

The Lower River Suir SAC is designated for 15 Qualifying Interests, a mix of habitats and species, as detailed below with their current overall conservation status (NPWS, 2013). No specific conservation objectives have been publicised for this SAC therefore where applicable the conservation objectives for the River Nore and River Barrow the conservation objectives have been used and are referred to where relevant later in the report.

Qualifying Features of Interest include:

- Freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*) [1029] - UNFAVOURABLE BAD;
- White-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) [1092] - UNFAVOURABLE INADEQUATE;
- Sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) [1095] – BAD;
- Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*) [1096] – FAVOURABLE;
- River lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*) [1099] – FAVOURABLE;
- Twaite shad (*Alosa fallax*) [1103] - INADEQUATE BAD;
- Salmon (*Salmo salar*) [1106] - UNFAVOURABLE INADEQUATE;
- Atlantic salt meadows (*Glaucopuccinellietalia maritimae*) [1330] - UNFAVOURABLE INADEQUATE;

- Otter (*Lutra lutra*) [1355] – GOOD;
- Mediterranean salt meadows (*Juncetalia maritimi*) [1410] - UNFAVOURABLE INADEQUATE;
- Water courses of plain to montane levels with the *Ranunculion fluitantis* and *Callitriche-Batrachion* vegetation [3260] - UNFAVOURABLE INADEQUATE;
- Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels [6430] – BAD;
- Old sessile oak woods with *Ilex* and *Blechnum* in British Isles [91A0] - UNFAVOURABLE BAD;
- \*Alluvial forests with *Alnus glutinosa* and *Fraxinus excelsior* (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0] – UNFAVOURABLE BAD; and
- \**Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles [91J0] - UNFAVOURABLE BAD.

Pressures and threats to the SAC may include the following:

- Reduction in Water quality;
- Alteration of Estuarine processes;
- Pollution including agriculture, sewage and industrial waste;
- Infilling;
- Agricultural intensification;
- Angling pressure;
- Intensification of Aquaculture;
- Disturbances to intertidal sediments;
- In-stream works;
- Development;
- Habitat loss;
- Alteration of ground water;
- Dredging;
- Drainage; and
- Invasive species.

### 3.8.6 Qualifying interests excluded from the assessment

Qualifying interests (above) excluded from the assessment are considered overleaf in Table 3-2. This consideration identified their sensitivities based on conservation status and relevance to possible effects from the development. This consideration follows the precautionary principle i.e. if there a potential for adverse effects to this habitat/ species and hence it's overall conservation status from the proposed development. Features which have no potential of being impacted by the scheme, either because they do not occur within the area likely to be affected, or because they are not sensitive to changes in water quality, are excluded from assessment.

**Table 3-2 Features Excluded from this Assessment**

Qualifying Interest	Comment
Freshwater pearl mussel ( <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> ) [1029]	Freshwater pearl mussel is found in tributaries south of Thurles and not in the main channel of the River Suir. The proposed works do not have the potential to impact on populations in these tributaries.
Sea lamprey ( <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> ) [1095]	This species is found only in the lower reaches of the River Suir near Waterford. Given the distance involved the proposed works do not have the potential to impact this species.
Twaite shad ( <i>Alosa fallax</i> ) [1103]	This species is found only in the lower reaches of the River Suir. Given the distance involved the proposed works do not have the potential to impact this species.
Atlantic salt meadows ( <i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i> ) [1330]	Coastal habitat with no potential to be impacted by the proposed works.
Mediterranean salt meadows ( <i>Juncetalia maritimi</i> ) [1410]	Coastal habitat with no potential to be impacted by the proposed works.
Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculus fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation [3260]	The definition of this habitat is very broad and as such it is found in most watercourses in Ireland. This habitat would be more sensitive to nutrient loadings and direct disturbance. Given the nature of the works and the distance from the SAC there will be no impacts to this habitat.
Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels [6430]	In lowland environments this habitat occurs as a community of slow-moving rivers and margins of lakes dominated by tall herbs, and also possibly occurs as a tall herb community of wetland borders. Pollution relating to agriculture and grazing are considered the main pressures/threats (NPWS Article 17 report 2013). Given the nature of the works and the distance from the SAC there will be no impacts to this habitat.
*Alluvial forests with <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]	This habitat is found in areas subject to flooding along watercourses and water bodies where species tolerant of periodic water logging such as alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> , ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> and willow <i>Salix</i> sp. are found. Key threats relate to invasive alien species, and grazing (NPWS, 2008). Given the nature of the works and the distance from the SAC there will be no impacts to this habitat.
* <i>Taxus baccata</i> woods of the British Isles [91J0]	Yew woodland is a terrestrial habitat with a highly restricted distribution. Given the nature of the works and the distance from the SAC there will be no impacts to this habitat.
<i>Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in British Isles</i> [91A0]	A terrestrial habitat where key threats have been identified as non-native invasive and grazing. Given the nature of the works and the distance from the SAC there will be no impacts to this habitat.

### 3.8.7 Qualifying interests considered and their sensitivities

No detailed conservation objectives exist currently for the Lower River Suir SAC, therefore draft conservation objectives from the River Barrow and River Nore SAC have been used. Relevant qualifying interests are considered below regarding potential impacts and mitigation in this NIS.



### **Otter (*Lutra lutra*) [1355]**

An otter survey was conducted which confirmed the presence of otter in the study area in 2008. No signs were noted in 2014 however it is still likely that otter forage along the Mall River and areas upstream of the proposed scheme. Given the extensive range of otter territories local individuals are likely to be linked to otter populations in the Lower River Suir SAC. The detailed conservation objectives for otter set a target of 'no significant decline' in extent of terrestrial/freshwater/lake habitat or of couching/holt sites. Otter is further considered regarding potential effects and mitigation.

### **Salmon (*Salmo salar*) [1106]**

Salmon are present in the Lower River Suir SAC and the study area around Templemore was noted as having suitable spawning habitat during site surveys. Juvenile Salmon from the 1+ cohort were recorded in 2014 in the Mall River during electrical fishing surveys which indicates that the river is used by spawning salmon to some degree. This species conducts extensive movements within rivers and the study area includes spawning habitat and nursery areas. Salmon have different habitat requirements depending on the life stage (Hendry & Cragg-Hine, 2003). Juvenile salmon (fry and parr) require shallow, fast-flowing water with areas of cover such as overhanging tress, rocks, undercut banks and woody debris. Adult salmon require clean gravels for spawning with an adequate through-flow of water, and deep pools for resting. The detailed conservation objectives for salmon set a target for river water quality of Q4, and specify there should be no decline in the number and distribution of spawning redds due to anthropogenic causes. Salmon is further considered regarding potential effects and mitigation.

### **River lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*) [1099]**

No river lamprey was identified in 2014 surveys. River lampreys require clean gravels to spawn and the conservation objectives specify that there should be no decline in the extent and distribution of spawning beds. Potential spawning habitat was recorded within the study area. River lamprey is further considered regarding potential effects and mitigation.

### **Brook lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*) [1096]**

Brook lamprey completes its life cycle in freshwater, spawning in gravels in the upper reaches of rivers, with larval development occurring in burrows in soft sediments in slower flowing waters downstream. It was recorded in the current study within the Mall River during aquatic surveys in 2014. The detailed conservation objectives for brook lamprey specify they should have access to all watercourses down to first order streams as currently artificial barriers are preventing juvenile lampreys from accessing the full extent of suitable habitat. Brook lamprey require clean gravels to spawn and the conservation objectives specify that there should be no decline in the extent and distribution of spawning beds. Brook lamprey is further considered regarding potential effects and mitigation.

### **White-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) [1092]**

White-clawed crayfish were recorded from all sites surveyed within the Mall River study area in 2014. While the crayfish is present in the vicinity of the proposed works at Templemore the populations in the Lower River Suir are not likely to be impacted by the proposed scheme as this species does not conduct extensive movements and is non migratory. Localised impacts are nevertheless further considered given that populations in the study area potentially add favourably to the overall genetic viability of the River Suir population and for example complete removal of a local population (Mall River) could have uncertain effects on downstream populations in the SAC. White clawed crayfish is further considered regarding potential effects and mitigation.

## **3.9 Potential Impacts**

Two scheme design scenarios are considered when assessing the potential impacts. Scenario A involves the creation of temporary flood diversion channel extending from above Templemore Town to below, and Scenario B involves the creation of new permanent diversion channel.

### **3.9.1 Alone**

Ecological impact assessment of potential indirect impacts on Natura 2000 sites is conducted utilising a standard SOURCE-RECEPTOR-PATHWAY model, where, in order for an indirect impact to be established all three elements of this mechanism must be in place. The absence or removal of one of the elements of the mechanism is sufficient to conclude that a potential impact is of any relevance or significance.

**Source** – The proposed scheme works;

**Pathway** – Direct/ indirect linkage/ impact to habitat or species from works within the boundary of the Natura 2000 site or outside site but linked e.g. by river pathway; and

**Receptor** - The site qualifying interests and the related conservation objectives. The described Natura 2000 sites are potential receptors.

All three elements of this mechanism are in place. Hence based on this model a potential impact must be considered.

The assessment was undertaken in accordance with the guidance contained in the document *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the United Kingdom* (IEEM, 2006), with reference to *Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Roads Schemes* (NRA, 2009). These documents detail the procedure for establishing the ‘value’ of ecological habitats (i.e. international, national, regional, high local, moderate local, low local) and the criteria for assessing the significance of predicted impacts (i.e. severe, major, moderate, minor or no impact).

Potential impacts to relevant qualifying interests are described below.

### 3.9.2 Otter

The Mall River and Templemore Lake area is likely to be utilised by otters. Given the extensive range of otters there is a slight possibility that the individuals in the study area have linkage to populations in the Lower River Suir SAC. There is a potential for short term temporary disturbance to arise to otters during the construction phase of both scheme scenarios considered, particularly during instream and riparian vegetation removal works. This may possibly lead to temporary isolation of territories upstream and downstream of the works. However, otter are predominantly nocturnal and mobility should not be impacted as works will not take place at night. They are also adaptable and should be able to continue commuting along the Mall River corridor during the works phase. Following in-stream works riparian vegetation will redevelop and fish and other prey species will be accessible, as is the case in similar works of this nature. Precautionary mitigation is detailed to minimise short term disturbance impacts.

### 3.9.3 Salmon, River Lamprey Brook Lamprey & White Clawed Crayfish

The proposed river scheme would potentially result in the physical removal of both habitats (i.e. spawning gravels, lamprey nursery areas) and individual protected species (lampreys, crayfish, salmonids) from the Mall River. Such works would also potentially result in the loss of instream vegetation.

Lamprey and salmon are migratory species and the removal of spawning gravels/nursery areas in Templemore could measurably impact populations associated with the Lower River Suir SAC. Juvenile lamprey and salmon were recorded in the Mall River during electrical fishing surveys in 2014. The works are located within a river corridor used by salmonids and lampreys for spawning. For salmonids, the ova and early juvenile stages are most sensitive. Although spawning takes place in winter, fry do not emerge until mid-late May. Therefore any works during this period would have a significant impact on salmonids. Brook lampreys spawn in the spring and early summer months. Juvenile lampreys remain in their nursery areas (silt beds) for up to five years and would therefore be particularly vulnerable to the proposed works.

The physical river drainage works will potentially result in the removal of material containing large quantities of macroinvertebrates such as the legally protected white clawed crayfish which are present in the impact area. Larvae of mayflies, caddisflies, dragonflies and beetles as well as adult beetles, snails and freshwater shrimp would be removed from the ecosystem. This would reduce faunal biomass in the affected stretch and subsequently reduce the production capacity of higher organisms such as fish, otters and other predators. Sections of instream, bankside vegetation and hedgerows would also be cleared during the excavation leading to a loss of aquatic and riparian habitats that have naturalised within Templemore Town. The channel downstream to confluence with River Suir will only be affected by channel maintenance.

White Clawed Crayfish are considered much less sensitive as populations are less mobile and well upstream of the Lower River Suir SAC however direct habitat loss and individual mortality is considered as requiring mitigation consideration.

Scheme Scenario A will result in more localised impacts in terms of direct habitat removal as the original channel will be maintained and the main impacts will occur where the new diversion channel splits and rejoins.

Scheme Scenario B will result in the total loss of habitats in the original channel but a translocation program and design of the new channel would help mitigate impacts.

The two scenarios under consideration will impact flows in the river channels (new and old). If Scenario A is pursued then the diversion channel will be dry when not in flood. As the salmonids spawning season in winter coincides with typical seasonal flood events/high flows it is likely that salmonids will spawn in the diversion channel and thus become stranded during times of lower flows when the diversion is not active. If a sweetening flow was to be left in the diversion channel this could impact the main channel during periods of low flow potentially causing the same issue in the main channel. If Scenario B is pursued and a new permanent diversion channel created receiving full flows, then salmonids will spawn here with no impact.

The proposed flood relief scheme (both scenarios) would also potentially result in the pollution of the Mall River with suspended solids. This would be caused by the runoff of soil due to disturbance of sediments in the course of instream works and culvert construction. High levels of silt in this section of the Mall River could lead to significant potential for disturbance and the downstream transport of this material. This could have a potentially negative impact on the flora and fauna occurring in this survey section of river if it is not contained. The suspended solids if not contained would also potentially flow into the River Suir and subsequently the Lower River Suir SAC. There is also the potential impact of currently inert pollutants from road run-off or from waste discharges in the town that occur within the soft sediments of the substrate to be released into the water column.

Potential impacts from water quality deterioration due to sediment release or accidental spills during construction may include:

- Pollution spills – potential oil, fuel or other pollutant spillages are likely to impact aquatic animal species within receiving waters, causing mortality or other sub-lethal effects such as reduced birth rates and/or juvenile survival;
- Siltation - suspended solids have the potential to damage the gills of aquatic fauna including freshwater pearl mussel, salmon, lamprey and white-clawed crayfish;

- Sedimentation - deposition of silt can smother freshwater pearl mussel, fish eggs, fry and benthic invertebrate communities (food sources for fish and crayfish); and
- Interference with fish migration - anadromous species must be able to reach suitable spawning areas upstream and even a small stretch of poor water quality can block or interfere with migration.

There is also the potential for a range of other pollutants to enter the river during construction work. Such pollutants would include uncured concrete, oils and construction debris. Machinery working within and near the river has the potential to produce pollutants both directly (from leaking fuels, oil spills, etc.) and indirectly, as a result of the drainage maintenance work (i.e. suspended solids, leached pollutants etc.). Any pollutants or accidental spills could potentially run untreated into the Mall River and subsequently the River Suir with serious negative consequences.

There is potential for machinery working on the site to import non-native or invasive species from a previous work site into the river corridor.

It is possible that during the works fish and other aquatic life could become trapped behind the retaining wall or other structures (i.e. the culvert). A small weir is proposed upstream of the diversion. This could impede the passage of non salmonids species and design measures should be put in place to ensure species such as crayfish, lamprey and eel can continue to move upstream.

In conclusion there is the potential for short-medium term localised significant negative impacts to qualifying Annex II listed species described outside the SAC. This would lead to uncertain effects on these populations in the Lower River Suir SAC. Mitigation measures proposed for the conservation of sensitive aquatic ecological receptors are detailed below to minimise the predicted local impacts of the proposed works and avoid impacts on the Lower River Suir populations.

### *3.9.4 Potential in-combination effects*

#### **3.9.4.1 Agriculture**

Agriculture is the dominant industry in the surrounding landscape. The main potential source of in-combination impact is on surface water quality. Aerial imagery of the land in the vicinity of the scheme (OSI Mapviewer) shows that the landscape predominantly consists of agricultural lands, both pasture and tillage. The cultivation of grassland for agricultural purposes typically involves the application of agricultural and artificial manures to promote sufficient grass growth. Runoff from agricultural land is likely to be high in nitrates and phosphates, and could potentially contribute to eutrophication of the River Suir. The potential impacts of the proposed scheme works in Templemore is more likely to relate

to sediment release rather than nutrient release, and there in combination with agricultural practices do not have the potential to significantly impact the surface water quality of the Lower River Suir SAC.

#### **3.9.4.2 Forestry**

Forestry management operations, including felling, have potential to result in in-combination impacts. Coillte forestry on Devils Bit Mountain from where the Mall River rises could potentially result in combined effects particularly with respect to siltation should felling occur. Devils Bit Forest Management Plan - Forest Code: TY07 covers 1,422ha of Coillte land over the years 2011-2015, was reviewed for potential in-combination impacts. It was noted that 11% of this forest is managed for biodiversity with other areas being converted from conifer plantations to broadleaf plantations over time. The plan indicates that there a total of 78 hectares will be felled between 2011-2015, with some thinning also proposed. Potential in-combination impacts on water quality, in particular during the forestry felling/construction works associated with the proposed development works as part of this project exist, however stringent guidelines are required for any works in Freshwater Pearl catchments. The plan provides the following risk mitigation:

*At a planning level (FMP) all water courses marked on OS maps will be given a buffer zone management unit with a biodiversity objective, this buffer zone will be felled in conjunction with the adjoining management unit. The restocking rate is lower and species selected suitable to riparian zones (broadleaves). At site level an EIA is carried out on all sites outlining impacts and mitigation measures put in place. On sensitive sites a water management plan is put in place with water monitoring and water testing. Work on any site will be stopped where there is a risk of a pollution incident.*

Combined with the distance downstream of Freshwater Pearl Mussel populations, hectareage of felling likely to be undertaken at the same time as forestry felling/construction works, potential adverse in-combination effects on water quality are considered unlikely.

#### **3.9.4.3 WWTP's**

As detailed earlier the Templemore WwTP has been recently upgraded and so in-combination impacts (in terms of suspended solids release) with the proposed works are unlikely.

#### **3.9.4.4 Plans**

Plans considered as part of the AA process included the North Tipperary County Development Plan 2010-2016. Policy ENV 5: Water Framework Directive states that '*It is the Council policy to implement the provisions of the River Management Plans, and any other water quality management plans prepared at a national, regional or local level*'. The South Eastern River Basin Management Plan 2009-2015 was also reviewed. The overall objective of the River Basin Management Plan is to achieve and maintain good water quality status. Given the policies in place to ensure there is no conflict with the

Water Framework Directive objectives then no in-combination impacts with the proposed works at Templemore are anticipated.

### 3.10 Mitigation Measures

Mitigation measures specific to preventing impacts to features of the Lower River Suir SAC are presented below. Further mitigation measures aimed at avoiding or reducing impacts to other ecological receptors in the study area are detailed in the Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology chapters of the Environmental Impact Statement.

#### 3.10.1 Otter – Both Scheme Scenarios

Otter potentially use the Mall River and Templemore Lake for foraging and commuting. During vegetation clearance along drains and the Mall River an Ecologist will resurvey this area. If a holt is found, appropriate mitigation following NRA *Guidelines for treatment of otters prior to construction of road schemes* (NRA 2006) will be implemented. These will include assessing breeding activity within the site and a license application to the NPWS, if required. The Mall River corridor will not be blocked off especially at night. This will allow otters to transit between areas north and south of the works. This is particularly important in Templemore Town as there will be increased risk of collision with cars if they cannot follow the river.

#### 3.10.2 Salmon, River Lamprey Brook Lamprey & White Clawed Crayfish – Scheme Scenario A (using existing and new flood channel)

##### 3.10.2.1 Mitigation by design

It is recommended that the flood channel will be designed to have a consistent gradient with no areas of pooled water where fish may become trapped after a flood event. It will also be designed to ensure that there is no flow during normal operating circumstances– it will empty in its entirety. Following a flood event any fish that may end up in the culvert can be expected to drop back to the main channel when flows recede. Although there will inevitably be some ongoing non-significant impacts in this regard.

The junction between the downstream end of the flood channel and the river should be designed to be spillway with a drop. This will aim to prevent / discourage salmonids, eels, lampreys and crayfish ascending into the flood channel. The use of a physical barrier (i.e. screens) in this area is not considered feasible as it would become clogged with debris. Likewise there would be technical and practical difficulties in employing an electrical barrier at this site.

Flows into the upstream end of the flood channel will be controlled using a spillway designed to be at flood level.



It is possible that fish would drop over such a structure during a flood event however if the above design is followed this would not result in standing of fish. The upper end of the flood channel will also be designed such a way that any fish accidentally entering the flood channel (i.e. adult salmon jumping the drop spillway, or any fish descending into the culvert during flood conditions) will be able to ascend back through the upper spillway during a flood event. The provision of a physical, electrical or behavioural barrier in this area would also not be considered feasible. It is important that the detailed final designs be approved by Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI) and NPWS.

Where appropriate, riprap will be used instead of gabions for bank armouring works. The provision of riprap has significant benefits for fish (O'Grady, 2006).

#### **3.10.2.2 Mitigation by avoidance**

The timing of the works would be agreed in advance with the NPWS and IFI. The works are located within a river corridor used by salmonids and lampreys for spawning. To protect salmon and trout it will be necessary to time works outside the window of October to May. Brook lampreys spawn in the spring and early summer months and the timing of works should also take this species into account.

Work areas will be limited as far as possible. No instream excavations or other works involving interference with the bed, bank or soil should take place outside of the immediate areas where the flood channel joins the Mall River.

No works are proposed for Templemore Lake and this area will be avoided during all construction works.

#### **3.10.2.3 Mitigation by remedy**

The appointed contractor will be required to provide a detailed method statement showing how water quality impacts and habitat loss during the works will be minimised. The methodology will be approved by both the IFI and the NPWS prior to any works taking place. The requirement of any fish, lamprey and crayfish translocation operation will be discussed with a suitably qualified aquatic Ecologist. It is noted that crayfish cannot be captured by electrofishing and lampreys cannot be removed using standard IFI fish salvage methods. A specialist contractor will have to be engaged to do this work.

A suitable Environmental Management System will be used to control sediments during the works; this will include the installation of properly designed silt curtains and a monitoring programme for suspended solids in the river, to be agreed with the NPWS and IFI.

Measures to be used to protect aquatic ecology during the construction works will follow the relevant section of the NRA's documents '*Guidelines for the Crossing of Watercourses during the Construction*

of National Road Schemes' (NRA, 2005). The fisheries board documents "*Maintenance and protection of the inland fisheries resource during road construction and improvement works. Requirements of the Southern Regional Fisheries Board*" (Kilfeather, 2007) and '*Requirements for the Protection of Fisheries Habitat during Construction and Development Works at River Sites*' (Murphy, 2004) would also be followed where relevant.

Water quality impacts during the construction phase would be minimised / avoided by following a method statement agreed in advance with NPWS and the IFI. A silt fence (or equivalent barrier) would be used to surround the works area. This would be installed in the river prior to any works commencing on site. Material removed would be stockpiled within a bunded area / or within a geotextile barrier. All necessary measures would be taken to prevent the release of oil, fuels or other pollutants into the Mall River. The works will be carried out during dry weather and halted during heavy rainfall to reduce suspended solids in the river. Spoil and removed vegetation material from the river is to be stored no less than 5m back from the river and vegetation within this 5m buffer zone is to be retained, in order to reduce the run-off of suspended solids back into the water course.

No exotic invasive flora was recorded from the study site however as the machines being used to excavate the river may contain fragments of exotic invasive flora they will be cleaned at the start of the excavation of the river.

### *3.10.3 Salmon, River Lamprey Brook Lamprey & White Clawed Crayfish – Scheme Scenario B (new channel only)*

#### **3.10.3.1 Mitigation by design**

The flood channel will be designed to be a physically diverse river corridor. Suitable guidance to follow is '*The New Rivers and Wildlife Handbook*' by Purseglove, J. (1995). The river channel should be designed with biodiversity in mind, and not just be aimed at salmonids. However guidance for designing / enhancing rivers for salmonids can be found in the book "*Channels & Challenges. Enhancing salmonid rivers*" by O'Grady (1996) and elements of this book should also be used to inform the design of the channel.

The 'old' channel will be modified to ensure that fish can no longer enter it and become trapped after a flood event.

Any piped waste water discharges will be assessed in terms of waste assimilation capacity of the receiving water and treatment such as oil/water separation will be provided.

The outflow of Templemore Lake will be connected to the new channel.

### **3.10.3.2 Mitigation by avoidance**

The timing of the works would be agreed in advance with the NPWS and IFI. The works are located within a river corridor used by salmonids and lampreys for spawning. To protect salmon and trout it will be necessary to time works outside the window of October to May. Brook lampreys spawn in the spring and early summer months and the timing of works should also take this species into account.

Work areas will be limited as far as possible. No instream excavations or other works involving interference with the bed, bank or soil should take place outside of the immediate areas where the flood channel joins the Mall River.

No works are proposed for Templemore Lake and this area will be avoided during all construction works.

### **3.10.3.3 Mitigation by remedy**

The appointed contractor will be required to provide a detailed method statement showing how water quality impacts and habitat loss during the works will be minimised. The methodology will be approved by both the IFI and the NPWS prior to any works taking place.

There will be a requirement for a large-scale fish, lamprey and crayfish translocation operation on the 'old' river channel. It is noted that crayfish cannot be removed by electrical fishing and that lampreys cannot be removed by salmonid type electrical fishing operation. It will be therefore necessary to engage with specialist licensed aquatic Ecologists, in addition to the IFI. A translocation plan will need to be designed and implemented prior to water being diverted into the new channel.

A suitable Environmental Management System will be used to control sediments during the works; this will include the installation of properly designed silt curtains and a monitoring programme for suspended solids in the river, to be agreed with the NPWS and IFI. Measures to be used to protect aquatic ecology during the construction works will follow the relevant section of the NRA's documents 'Guidelines for the Crossing of Watercourses during the Construction of National Road Schemes' (NRA, 2005). The fisheries board documents "Maintenance and protection of the inland fisheries resource during road construction and improvement works. Requirements of the Southern Regional Fisheries Board" (Kilfeather, 2007) and 'Requirements for the Protection of Fisheries Habitat during Construction and Development Works at River Sites' (Murphy, 2004) would also be followed where relevant.

Water quality impacts during the construction phase would be minimised / avoided by following a method statement agreed in advance with NPWS and the IFI. A silt fence (or equivalent barrier) would be used to surround the works area. This would be installed in the river prior to any works commencing on site. Material removed would be stockpiled within a bunded area / or within a geotextile barrier. All

necessary measures would be taken to prevent the release of oil, fuels or other pollutants into the Mall River. The works will be carried out during dry weather and halted during heavy rainfall to reduce suspended solids in the river. Spoil and removed vegetation material from the river is to be stored no less than 5m back from the river and vegetation within this 5m buffer zone is to be retained, in order to reduce the run-off of suspended solids back into the water course.

No exotic invasive flora was recorded from the study site however as the machines being used to excavate the river may contain fragments of exotic invasive flora they will be cleaned at the start of the excavation of the river.

### 3.11 Predicted Impacts

It is clear that the new flood relief scheme can be constructed while avoiding significant impacts on aquatic ecology by adhering appropriate mitigation detailed herein.

### 3.12 Conclusion of Stage 2

This NIS concludes that following implementation of precautionary mitigation measures, it is considered that there would be no significant direct or indirect impacts, alone and/or in combination with other plans and projects on the integrity of relevant European Sites.

# APPENDIX 1

---

## Site Synopsis Lower River Suir SAC



## **SITE NAME: LOWER RIVER SUIR**

### **SITE CODE: 002137**

This site consists of the freshwater stretches of the River Suir immediately south of Thurles, the tidal stretches as far as the confluence with the Barrow/Nore immediately east of Cheekpoint in Co. Waterford and many tributaries including the Clodiagh in Co. Waterford, the Lingaun, Anner, Nier, Tar, Aherlow, Multeen and Clodiagh in Co. Tipperary. The Suir and its tributaries flow through the counties of Tipperary, Kilkenny and Waterford. Upstream of Waterford city, the swinging meanders of the Suir crisscross the Devonian sandstone rim of hard rocks no less than three times as they leave the limestone-floored downfold below Carrick. In the vicinity of Carrick-on-Suir the river follows the limestone floor of the Carrick Syncline. Upstream of Clonmel the river and its tributaries traverse Upper Palaeozoic Rocks, mainly the Lower Carboniferous Visean and Tournaisian. The freshwater stretches of the Clodiagh River in Co. Waterford traverse Silurian rocks, through narrow bands of Old Red Sandstone and Lower Avonian Shales before reaching the carboniferous limestone close to its confluence with the Suir. The Aherlow River flows through a Carboniferous limestone valley, with outcrops of Old Red Sandstone forming the Galtee Mountains to the south and the Slievenamuck range to the north. Glacial deposits of sands and gravels are common along the valley bottom, flanking the present-day river course.

The site is a candidate SAC selected for the presence of the priority habitats on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive - alluvial wet woodlands and Yew Wood. The site is also selected as a candidate SAC for floating river vegetation, Atlantic salt meadows, Mediterranean salt meadows, old oak woodlands and eutrophic tall herbs, all habitats listed on Annex I of the E.U. Habitats Directive. The site is also selected for the following species listed on Annex II of the same directive - Sea Lamprey, River Lamprey, Brook Lamprey, Freshwater Pearl Mussel, Crayfish, Twaité Shad, Atlantic Salmon and Otter. Alluvial wet woodland is declining habitat in Europe as a result of drainage and reclamation. The best examples of this type of woodland in the site are found on the islands just below Carrick-on-Suir and at Fiddown Island. Species occurring here include Almond Willow (*Salix triandra*), White Willow (*S. alba*), Grey Willow (*S. cinerea*), Osier (*S. viminalis*), with Iris (*Iris pseudacorus*), Hemlock Water-dropwort (*Oenanthe crocata*), Angelica (*Angelica sylvestris*), Pendulus Sedge (*Carex pendula*), Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*) and Valerian (*Valeriana officinalis*). The terrain is littered with dead trunks and branches and intersected with small channels which carry small streams to the river. The bryophyte and lichen floras appear to be rich and require further investigation. A small plot is currently being coppiced and managed by National Parks and Wildlife. In the drier areas the wet woodland species merge with other tree and shrub species including Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), Hazel (*Corylus avellana*), Hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*) and Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*). This adds further to the ecological interest of this site. Eutrophic tall herb vegetation occurs in association with the various areas of alluvial forest and elsewhere where the flood-plain of the river is intact. Characteristic species of the habitat include



Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*), Purple Loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), Marsh Ragwort (*Senecio aquaticus*), Ground Ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*) and Hedge Bindweed (*Calystegia sepium*).

Old oak woodlands are also of importance at the site. The best examples are seen in Portlaw Wood which lies on both sides of the Clodiagh River. On the south-facing side the stand is more open and the Oaks (mainly *Quercus robur*) are well grown and spreading. Ivy (*Hedera helix*) and Bramble (*Rubus fruticosus*) are common on the ground, indicating relatively high light conditions. Oak regeneration is dense, varying in age from 0-40 years and Holly (*Ilex aquifolium*) is fairly common but mostly quite young. Across the valley, by contrast, the trees are much more closely spaced and though taller are poorly grown on average. There are no clearings; large Oaks extend to the boundary wall. In the darker conditions, Ivy is much rarer and Holly much more frequent, forming a closed canopy in places. Oak regeneration is uncommon since there are as yet few natural clearings. The shallowness of the soil on the northfacing slope probably contributes to the poor tree growth there. The acid nature of the substrate has induced a “mountain” type Oakwood community to develop. There is an extensive species list present throughout including an abundance of mosses, liverworts and lichens. The rare lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria*, an indicator of ancient woodlands, is found.

Inchinsquillib Wood consists of three small separate sloping blocks of woodland in a valley cut by the young Multeen River and its tributaries through acidic Old Red Sandstone, and Silurian rocks. Two blocks, both with an eastern aspect, located to the north of the road, are predominantly of Sessile oak (*Quercus petraea*) and Hazel, with Downy Birch (*Betula pubescens*), Ash and Holly. The ground flora is quite mixed with for example Wood sedge (*Carex sylvatica*), Bluebell (*Hyacinthoides non-scriptus*), Primrose (*Primula vulgaris*), Wood-sorrel (*Oxalis acetosella*), Pignut (*Conopodium majus*) and Hard fern (*Blechnum spicant*). The base poor nature of the underlying rock is, to some extent masked by the overlying drift. The third block, to the south of the road, and with a northern aspect, is a similar although less mature mixture of Sessile Oak, Birch and Holly, the influence of the drift is more marked, with the occurrence of Wood anemone (*Anemone nemorosa*) amongst the ground flora.

Floating river vegetation is evident in the freshwater stretches of the River Suir and along many of its tributaries. Typical species found include Canadian Pondweed (*Elodea canadensis*), Milfoil (*Myriophyllum* spp.), Fennel Pondweed (*Potamogeton pectinatus*), Curled Pondweed (*P. crispus*), Perfoliate Pondweed (*P. perfoliatus*), Pond Water-crowfoot (*Ranunculus peltatus*), other Crowfoots (*Ranunculus* spp.) and the moss *Fontinalis antipyretica*. At a couple of locations along the river, Opposite leaved Pondweed (*Groenlandia densa*) occurs. This species is protected under the Flora (Protection) Order, 1999.

The Aherlow River is fast-flowing and mostly follows a natural unmodified river channel. Submerged vegetation includes the aquatic moss *Fontinalis antipyretica* and Stream Water-crowfoot (*Ranunculus pencillatus*), while shallow areas support species such as Reed Canary-grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), Brooklime (*Veronica beccabunga*) and Water Mint (*Mentha aquatica*). The river bank is fringed in

places with Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) and Willows (*Salix* spp.). The Multeen River is fast flowing, mostly gravel-bottomed and appears to follow a natural unmodified river channel. Water Crowfoots occur in abundance and the aquatic moss *Fontinalis antipyretica* is also common. In sheltered shallows, species such as Water-cress (*Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum*) and Water-starworts (*Callitriche* spp.) occur. The river channel is fringed for most of its length with Alder, Willow and a narrow strip of marshy vegetation.

Salt meadows occur below Waterford City in old meadows where the embankment is absent, or has been breached, and along the tidal stretches of some of the in-flowing rivers below Little Island. There are very narrow, non-continuous bands of this habitat along both banks. More extensive areas are also seen along the south bank at Ballynakill, the east side of Little Island, and in three large salt meadows between Ballynakill and Cheekpoint. The Atlantic and Mediterranean sub types are generally intermixed. The species list is extensive and includes Red Fescue (*Festuca rubra*), Oraches (*Atriplex* spp.), Sea Aster (*Aster tripolium*), Sea Couch Grass (*Elymus pycnanthus*), frequent Sea Milkwort (*Glaux maritima*), occasional Wild Celery (*Apium graveolens*), Parsley Water-dropwort (*Oenanthe lachenalii*), English Scurvygrass (*Cochlearia anglica*) and Sea Arrowgrass (*Triglochin maritima*). These species are more representative of the Atlantic sub-type of the habitat. Common Cord-grass (*Spartina anglica*), is rather frequent along the main channel edge and up the internal channels. The legally protected (Flora (Protection) Order, 1999) Meadow Barley (*Hordeum secalinum*) grows at the landward transition of the saltmarsh. Sea Rush (*Juncus maritimus*), an indicator of the Mediterranean salt meadows, also occurs. Other habitats at the site include wet and dry grassland, marsh, reed swamp, improved grassland, coniferous plantations, deciduous woodland, scrub, tidal river, stony shore and mudflats. The most dominant habitat adjoining the river is improved grassland, although there are wet fields with species such as Yellow Flag (*Iris pseudacorus*), Meadow Sweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*), Rushes (*Juncus* spp.), Meadow Buttercup (*Ranunculus acris*) and Cuckoo Flower (*Cardamine pratensis*).

Cabragh marshes, just below Thurles, lie in a low-lying tributary valley into which the main river floods in winter. Here there is an extensive area of Common Reed (*Phragmites australis*) with associated marshland and peaty fen. The transition between vegetation types is often well displayed. A number of wetland plants of interest occur, in particular the Narrow-leaved Bulrush (*Typha angustifolia*), Bottle Sedge (*Carex rostrata*) and Blunt-flowered Rush (*Juncus subnodulosus*). The marsh is naturally eutrophic but has also the nutritional legacy of the former sugar factory which discharged into it through a number of holding lagoons, now removed. Production is high which is seen in the size of such species as Celery-leaved Buttercup (*Ranunculus sceleratus*) as well as in the reeds themselves.

Throughout the Lower River Suir site are small areas of woodland other than those described above. These tend to be a mixture of native and non-native species, although there are some areas of semi-natural wet woodland with species such as Ash and Willow. Cahir Park Woodlands is a narrow tract of mixed deciduous woodland lying on the flatlying floodplain of the River Suir. This estate woodland was

planted over one hundred years ago and it contains a large component of exotic tree species. However, due to original planting and natural regeneration there is now a good mix of native and exotic species. About 5km northwest of Cashel, Ardmayle pond is a long, possibly artificial water body running parallel to the River Suir. It is partly shaded by planted Lime (*Tilia* hybrids), Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) and the native Alder. Growing beneath the trees are shade tolerant species such as Remote sedge (*Carex remota*).

The site is of particular conservation interest for the presence of a number of Annex II animal species, including Freshwater Pearl Mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera* and *M. m. durrovensis*), Freshwater Crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*), Salmon (*Salmo salar*), Twaite Shad (*Alosa fallax fallax*), three species of Lampreys - Sea Lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*), Brook Lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*) and River Lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*) and Otter (*Lutra lutra*). This is one of only three known spawning grounds in the country for Twaite Shad.

The site also supports populations of several other animal species. Those which are listed in the Irish Red Data Book include Daubenton's Bat (*Myotis daubentonii*), Natterer's Bat (*M. nattereri*), Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*), Pine Marten (*Martes martes*), Badger (*Meles meles*), the Irish Hare (*Lepus timidus hibernicus*), Smelt (*Osmerus eperlanus*) and the Frog (*Rana temporaria*). Breeding stocks of Carp are found in Kilsheelan Lake. This is one of only two lakes in the country which is known to have supported breeding Carp. Carp require unusually high summer water temperatures to breed in Ireland and the site may therefore support interesting invertebrate populations. Parts of the site have also been identified as of ornithological importance for a number of Annex I (EU Birds Directive) bird species, including Greenland White-fronted Goose (10), Golden Plover (1490), Whooper Swan (7) and Kingfisher. Figures given in brackets are the average maximum counts from 4 count areas within the site for the three winters between 1994 and 1997. Wintering populations of migratory birds use the site. Flocks are seen in Coolfinn Marsh and also along the reedbeds and saltmarsh areas of the Suir. Coolfinn supports nationally important numbers of Greylag Geese on a regular basis. Numbers between 600 and 700 are recorded. Other species occurring include Mallard (21), Teal (159), Widgeon (26), Tufted Duck (60), Pintail (4), Pochard (2), Little Grebe (2), Black-tailed Godwit (20), Oystercatcher (16), Lapwing (993), Dunlin (101), Curlew (195), Redshank (28), Greenshank (4) and Green Sandpiper (1). Nationally important numbers of Lapwing (2750) were recorded at Faithlegg in the winter of 1996/97. In Cabragh marshes there is abundant food for surface feeding wildfowl which total at 1,000 or so in winter. Widgeon, Teal and Mallard are numerous and the latter has a large breeding population - with up to 400 in summer. In addition, less frequent species like Shoveler and Pintail occur and there are records for both Whooper and Bewick's swans. Kingfisher, a species that is listed on Annex I of the EU Birds Directive, occurs along some of the many tributaries throughout the site.

Landuse at the site consists mainly of agricultural activities including grazing, silage production, fertilising and land reclamation. The grassland is intensively managed and the rivers are therefore

vulnerable to pollution from run-off of fertilisers and slurry. Arable crops are also grown. Fishing is a main tourist attraction on stretches of the Suir and some of its tributaries and there are a number of Angler Associations, some with a number of beats. Fishing stands and styles have been erected in places. Both commercial and leisure fishing takes place on the rivers. The Aherlow River is a designated Salmonid Water under the EU Freshwater Fish Directive. Other recreational activities such as boating, golfing and walking are also popular. Several industrial developments, which discharge into the river, border the site including three dairy related operations and a tannery.

The Lower River Suir contains excellent examples of a number of Annex I habitats, including the priority habitat Alluvial Forest. The site also supports populations of several Annex II animal species and a number of Red Data Book animal species. The presence of two legally protected plants (Flora (Protection) Order, 1999) and the ornithological importance of the river adds further to the ecological interest of this site.



# APPENDIX 2

---

## Bibliography





- Barbour, M.T. and Stribling, J.B., 1991. *Use of Habitat Assessment in Evaluating the Biological Integrity of Stream Communities*. In: *Methods in Stream Ecology* (Eds. Hauer, F.R. and Lamberti, G.A. Academic Press.
- Biodiversity Data Centre (2013). Mapping Centre Viewer. Available online at <http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Home>.
- DANI Advisory Leaflet No. 1 '*The Evaluation of habitat for Salmon and Trout*' Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland Fisheries Division. EU Salmonid Enhancement Programme.
- Eastern Regional Fisheries Board (2006). Requirements for the Protection of Fisheries and Habitats during Construction and Development Works at River Sites. Eastern Region Fisheries Board, Blackrock, Co. Dublin (<http://www.fisheriesireland.ie/Salmon-management/salmon-management.html>)
- Environment Agency, 2003. *River Habitat Survey in Britain and Ireland: Field Survey Guidance Manual*. *River Habitat Survey Manual: 2003 version*, Environment Agency, Peterborough.
- Environmental Protection Agency, 2002. *Guidelines on the Information to be contained in Environmental Impact Statements*. EPA, Wexford, Ireland.
- Environmental Protection Agency, 2003. *Advice Notes on Current Practice in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Statements*. EPA, Wexford, Ireland.
- Fossitt, J.A. 2000. *A Guide to Habitats in Ireland*. The Heritage Council, Kilkenny, Ireland.
- Harvey J & Cowx I, 2003. Monitoring the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey, *Lampetra fluviatilis*, *L. planeri* and *Petromyzon marinus*. Conserving Natura 2000 River Monitoring Series No. 5, English Nature, Peterborough.
- The Heritage Council, 2005. *Habitat Survey Guidelines: A Standard Methodology for Habitat Survey and Mapping in Ireland*.
- Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, 2006. *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment (Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management*.
- Kelleher, C. & Marnell, F., 2006. *Bat Mitigation Guidelines for Ireland*. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 25. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.
- Murphy D.F. 2004. *Requirements for the Protection of Fisheries Habitat during Construction and Development Works at River Sites*. Eastern Regional Fisheries Board.
- National Parks and Wildlife Service (2013). Online Mapping Service available online at: <http://www.npws.ie/mapsanddata/>.
- National Roads Authority, 2009. *Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Roads Schemes*.
- National Roads Authority. 2006. *Guidelines for the treatment of otters during the Construction of National Road Schemes*.
- National Roads Authority, 2006. *Guidelines for the Treatment of Bats during the Construction of National Roads Schemes*.

- National Roads Authority. 2005. *Guidelines for the Treatment of Bats during the Construction of National Road Schemes*.
- National Roads Authority. 2005. *Guidelines for the Crossing of Watercourses during the Construction of National Road Schemes*.
- O'Connor William, 2004. *A survey of juvenile lamprey populations in the Moy catchment*. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 15. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.
- O'Connor W., 2006. *A survey of juvenile lamprey populations in the Boyne Catchment*. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 24 National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.
- O' Connor, W., 2007. *A survey of juvenile lamprey populations in the Corrib and Suir catchments*. Irish Wildlife Manuals No. 26. National Parks and Wildlife Service.
- O'Grady, M.F., 2006. *Channels & Challenges. Enhancing salmonid rivers*. Irish Freshwater Fisheries Ecology & Management Series: Number, 4, Central Fisheries Board, Dublin, Ireland.
- Purseglove, J., 1995. *The New Rivers and Wildlife Handbook*. RSPB, NRA and RSNC, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, Sandy.
- Rose, F. 1989. *Colour Identification Guide to the Grasses, Sedges, Rushes and Ferns of the British Isles and north-western Europe*. Viking
- Smith, G. et. al, 2011. *Best Practice Guidance for Habitat Survey and Mapping*. The Heritage Council, Kilkenny, Ireland.
- Stace, C., 1997. *New flora of the British Isles*. Cambridge University Press, London.
- Toner, P., Bowman, K., Clabby, K., Lucey, J., McGarrigle, M., Concannon, C., Clenaghan, C., Cunningham, P., Delaney, J., O'Boyle, S., MaCarthaigh, M., Craig, M., and Quinn, R. 2005. *Water Quality in Ireland 2001-2003*. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford.
- Webb, D.A., Parnell, J., & Doogue, D. 1996. *An Irish Flora*. Dundalgan Press (W. Tempest) Ltd., Dundalk.



## NATIONAL NETWORK

Galway  
Fairgreen House,  
Fairgreen Road,  
Galway.  
Ph +353 (0)91 565211  
Fax +353 (0)91 565398  
E-mail [galway@tobin.ie](mailto:galway@tobin.ie)

Dublin  
Block 10-4,  
Blanchardstown Corporate  
Park,  
Dublin 15.  
Ph +353 (0)1 803 0406  
Fax +353 (0)1 803 0409  
E-mail [dublin@tobin.ie](mailto:dublin@tobin.ie)

Castlebar  
Market Square,  
Castlebar,  
Co. Mayo.  
Ph +353 (0)94 902 1401  
Fax +353 (0)94 902 1534  
E-mail [castlebar@tobin.ie](mailto:castlebar@tobin.ie)

TOBIN Consulting Engineers (UK) Ltd.,  
London Project Office,  
Room 750,  
Westgate House, Westgate Road,  
Ealing,  
W5 1YY,  
London, United Kingdom.  
Ph 0044 208 799 0308  
E-mail: [edward.lenihan@tobin-uk.com](mailto:edward.lenihan@tobin-uk.com)

visit us @ [www.tobin.ie](http://www.tobin.ie)

## **Appendix 7.1**

---

### **Aquatic Ecology References**

## APPENDIX 7-1

## AQUATIC ECOLOGY REFERENCES

Barbour, M.T. and Stribling, J.B. (1991) Use of Habitat Assessment in Evaluating the Biological Integrity of Stream Communities. *In: Methods in Stream Ecology* (Eds. Hauer, F.R. and Lamberti, G.A. Academic Press.

CFB (2008) '*Methods for the Water Framework Directive - Electric fishing in wadable reaches*. Central Fisheries Board, now Inland Fisheries Ireland, Swords, Dublin.

DANI Advisory Leaflet No. 1 '*The Evaluation of habitat for Salmon and Trout*' Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland Fisheries Division. EU Salmonid Enhancement Programme.

DOMNR (1998). Fishery guidelines for Local Authority works. Department of the Marine and Natural Resources, Dublin.

EA (2003) River Habitat Survey in Britain and Ireland: Field Survey Guidance Manual. River Habitat Survey Manual: 2003 version, Environment Agency, Peterborough.

Gardiner, R. (2003) Identifying lamprey. A field key for sea, river and brook lamprey. Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers, Conservation techniques No. 4. Peterborough. English Nature.

Fossitt, J. (2000) A guide to habitats in Ireland. *The Heritage Council, Kilkenny*

Harvey J & Cowx I (2003). Monitoring the River, Brook and Sea Lamprey, *Lampetra fluviatilis*, *L. planeri* and *Petromyzon marinus*. Conserving Natura 2000 River Monitoring Series No. 5, English Nature, Peterborough.

IEEM (2006). Guidelines for Ecological Evaluation and Assessment. Institute of Ecological and Environmental Management.

Kilfeather, P. (2007) Maintenance and protection of the inland fisheries resource during the road construction and improvement works. Requirements of the Southern Regional Fisheries Board. *Southern Regional Fisheries Board*. July 2007.

McGarrigle, M.L., Bowman, J.J., Clabby, K.J., Lucy, P., Cunningham, M., MacCarthaigh, M., Keegan, M., Cantrell, B., Lehane, M., Clenaghan, C., Toner, P.F. (2002) Water Quality in Ireland 1998-2000. Second (Revised) Edition. Environmental Protection Agency.

O'Connor William (2004) A survey of juvenile lamprey populations in the Moy catchment. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 15. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

O'Connor W. (2006) A survey of juvenile lamprey populations in the Boyne Catchment. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 24 National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

O' Connor, W. (2007) A survey of juvenile lamprey populations in the Corrib and Suir catchments *Irish Wildlife Manuals* No. 26. National Parks and Wildlife Service.

O'Grady, M.F. (2006) Channels & Challenges. Enhancing salmonid rivers. *Irish Freshwater Fisheries Ecology & Management Series*: Number, 4, Central Fisheries Board, Dublin, Ireland.

O' Reilly, P. (2004) Rivers of Ireland – a flyfisher's Guide. 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. Merlin Unwin Books.



Reynolds, J. D. (2006) Manual for monitoring Irish lake stocks of white-clawed crayfish, *Austropotamobius pallipes* (Lereboullet). Unpublished report to NPWS.

SEPA (Scottish Environmental Protection Agency) (1996) Guidelines for Water Pollution Prevention from Civil Engineering Contracts. *Scottish Environmental Protection Agency*.

Shackle, V.J., Hughes, S, & Lewis, VT (1999) The influence of three methods of gravel cleaning on Brown Trout, *Salmo trutta*, egg survival Hydrol. Process., 13(3):477-486

Stace, C. (1997) New flora of the British Isles. Cambridge University Press, London.

Scottish Executive (2000) River Crossings and Migratory Fish: Design Guidance. *A Consultation Paper. April 2000*.

Toner, P., Bowman, K., Clabby, K., Lucey, J., McGarrigle, M, Concannon, C., Clenaghan, C., Cunningham, P., Delaney, J., O'Boyle, S., MaCarthaigh, M., Craig, M., and Quinn, R. 2005. *Water Quality in Ireland 2001-2003*. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford.

Purseglove, J. (1995) The New Rivers and Wildlife Handbook. RSPB, NRA and RSNC, the Royal Society for the Protection Of birds, Sandy.

Walley W.J. and Hawkes H.A. (1997) A computer-based development of the Biological Monitoring Working Party score system incorporating abundance rating, biotope type and indicator value. *Water Research*, 31 (2), 201-210.

Whilde, A. (1993). *Threatened mammals, birds, amphibians and fish in Ireland*. Irish Red Data Book 2: Vertebrates. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, Belfast. 224p

## **Appendix 7.2**

---

### **Aquatic Ecology Tables and Figures**

## Appendix 7-2 Aquatic Ecology Tables & Figures

### Tables

Table A71.1 Survey site locations examined on the Mall River and River Suir in July 2014						
Site	NOS Grid Reference	Habitat Assessment	Electrical fishing (salmonids)	Electrical fishing (lampreys)	Biological sampling	White-clawed crayfish
1 (Pennane Bridge)	S12453 69274	✓			✓	
2 (Cloone Bridge)	S11997 70470	✓			✓	✓
3 (Manna South)	S11188 71053	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4 (Small's Bridge)	S11020 71296	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5 (Upstream of N62 Bridge)	S10942 71620	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6 (Belleville)	S10852 71756	✓		✓		
7 (Manna North)	S10496 71939	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## A7.2 Physical characteristics of the survey sites examined on the Mall River and River Suir in July 2014

	Site 1 Pennane Bridge	Site 2 Cloone Bridge	Site 3 Manna South	Site 4 Small's Bridge	Site 5 Upstream of N62 Bridge	Site 6 Belleville	Site 7 Manna North
Wetted width (m)	5	4	3.3	3.4	4.5	5	2.8
Mean depth (cm)	25	20	10	25	20	10	20
Maximum depth (cm)	60	45	30	40	25	60	40
Rock (%)	15	50	5	20	10	10	0
Cobble (%)	50	30	20	30	20	15	0
Gravel (%)	30	15	60	30	40	50	80
Fine (%)	5	5	15	20	30	25	20
Riffle (%)	20	40	15	20	5	5	10
Glide (%)	40	35	50	70	55	25	50
Pool (%)	40	25	35	10	40	70	40
Flow (cm/s)	25	25	30	30	20	5	20
Bank height (m)	1	2.5	2.6	2	2.2	2	1.8
Bank slope (°)	60	80	55	80	90	90	50
Bank cover (%)	95	90	65	50	0	0	100
Instream vegetation (%)	5	5	0	10	15	20	0
Canopy (%)	10	5	20	30	0	0	65
Shade (%)	25	10	30	10	20	5	90
Siltation	Moderate	Moderate	Light	Light	Light	Moderate	Light
Algal growth	Luxuriant	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Light	Light	Scarce
Length fished (m)	-	-	100	100	60	-	60
Time fished (min)	-	-	20	20	10	-	10

**A7.3 Fish species recorded at electrical fishing sites in the Mall River at Templemore in July 2014**

	Site 3 <sup>1, 2</sup>	Site 4 <sup>1,</sup> 2	Site 5 <sup>1, 2</sup>	Site 6 <sup>2</sup>	Site 7 <sup>1, 2</sup>
	Manna South	Small's Bridge	Upstream of N62 Bridge	Belleville	Manna North
Brown trout <i>Salmo trutta</i>	✓	✓	✓		✓
Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i>		✓	✓		
Brook lamprey <i>Lampetra planeri</i>		✓		✓	✓
European eel <i>Anguilla anguilla</i>		✓			
Pike <i>Esox lucius</i>		✓			
Three spined stickleback <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>			✓		✓

<sup>1</sup> Electrical fishing for salmonids

<sup>2</sup> Electrical fishing for juvenile lampreys

**A7.4 Summary statistics (length) of fish and lampreys intercepted at electrical fishing sites investigated in the Mall River in July 2014**

Site	Species	N	Length descriptive statistics			
			Mean	Min	Max	St. Dev
3 (Manna South)	Brown trout	4	11.4	12.8	13.7	3.9
4 (Small's Bridge)	Brown trout	35	15.6	4.8	27	4.3
	Atlantic salmon	3	12.2	11.3	12.8	0.8
	Brook lamprey	1	11.5	11.5	11.5	N/a
	European eel	3	31.8	22.5	38	8.2
	Pike	1	26	26	26	N/a
5 (Upstream of N62 Bridge)	Brown trout	19	7.5	3.4	16	5.1
	Atlantic salmon	1	13.9	13.9	13.9	N/a
	Three spined stickleback	30	-	-	-	-
6 (Belleville)	Brook lamprey	6	12.6	9.1	15.4	2.5
7 (Manna North)	Brown trout	14	15.7	5.3	22.6	3.9
	Brook lamprey	4	9.15	6.5	10.6	1.8
	Three spined stickleback	20	-	-	-	-

**A7.5 Summary statistics (weight) of fish and lampreys intercepted at electrical fishing sites investigated in the Mall River in July 2014**

Site	Species	N	Weight descriptive statistics			
			Mean	Min	Max	St. Dev
3 (Manna South)	Brown trout	4	24.3	12.8	13.7	14.8
4 (Small's Bridge)	Brown trout	35	59.9	1.3	220	46.4
	Atlantic salmon	3	22.2	19.4	23.8	2.4
	Brook lamprey	1	-	-	-	-
	European eel	3	-	-	-	-
	Pike	1	128.5	128.5	128.5	N/a
5 (Upstream of N62 Bridge)	Brown trout	19	16.1	1.3	57.1	21.3
	Atlantic salmon	1	33.6	33.6	33.6	N/a
	Three spined stickleback	30	-	-	-	-
6 (Belleville)	Brook lamprey	6	-	-	-	-
7 (Manna North)	Brown trout	14	56.2	2	127.8	31.5
	Brook lamprey	4	-	-	-	-
	Three spined stickleback	30	-	-	-	-



**A7.6 Catch Per Unit Effort indices for fish and lampreys caught at electrical fishing sites investigated in the Mall River in July 2014**

Site	Species	N	Time (mins)	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Fish / min	Fish / m <sup>2</sup>
3 (Manna South) <sup>1</sup>	Brown trout	4	20	330	0.20	0.012
4 (Small's Bridge)	Brown trout	35	20	340	1.75	0.103
	Atlantic salmon	3	20	340	0.15	0.009
	Brook lamprey	1	20	340	0.05	0.003
	European eel	3	20	340	0.15	0.009
	Pike	1	20	340	0.05	0.003
	Brook lamprey	1	N/a	1.5	N/a	0.667
	Brook lamprey	0	N/a	2	N/a	
5 (Upstream of N62 Bridge)	Brown trout	19	10	270	1.90	0.07
	Atlantic salmon	1	10	270	0.10	0.004
	Three spined stickleback	30	10	270	3.00	0.111
	Brook lamprey	0	N/a	1	N/a	0
6 (Belleville)	Brook lamprey	6	N/a	2	N/a	3
7 (Manna North)	Brown trout	14	10	168	1.40	0.083
	Brook lamprey	4	10	1	0.40	0.25
	Three spined stickleback	20	10	168	2.00	0.119

<sup>1</sup>No suitable habitat present for juvenile lamprey

**A7.7 Lengths and life stage of White-clawed crayfish recorded in the Mall River and River Suir in July 2014**

Site	Total length (cm)	Sex	Life stage	Survey method
1	1.4	F	Hatchling	Biological sampling
2	8.2	F	Adult	Hand searching
2	8.2	M	Adult	Hand searching
2	6.4	F	Adult	Hand searching
2	6.3	F	Adult	Hand searching
2	4.2	M	Juvenile	Hand searching
2	4.1	F	Juvenile	Hand searching
2	8.2	F	Adult	Hand searching
2	6.8	F	Adult	Hand searching
2	7.4	F	Adult	Hand searching
3	4.2	F	Juvenile	Hand searching
4	6.2	F	Adult	Hand searching
4	7	F	Adult	Hand searching
4	1.3	-	Hatchling	Hand searching
4	7.2	F	Adult	Hand searching
4	7.8	M	Adult	Hand searching
5	3.4	M	Juvenile	Hand searching
7	5.3	F	Adult	Hand searching

**A7.8 Summary of results for White-clawed crayfish captured during the surveys carried out in the Mall River and River Suir during July 2014**

Site	Sampling method				Number of crayfish by life stage			Total
	Hand searching		Biological sampling		Juvenile	Adult	Hatchling	
	N	CPUE <sup>1</sup>	N	CPUE <sup>2</sup>				
1	-	-	1	0.1	0	0	1	1
2	9	0.09	0	0	2	7	0	9
3	1	0.01	0	0	1	0	0	1
4	5	0.05	0	0	0	4	1	5
5	1	0.01	0	0	1	0	0	1
7	1	0.01	0	0	0	1	0	1

CPUE = Catch-Per-Unit-Effort

<sup>1</sup>Number of White-clawed crayfish captured during searches of 100 potential refuges

<sup>2</sup>Number of White-clawed crayfish captured during standard biological sampling (expressed as number of White-clawed crayfish captured during 10 standard sweeps)

**A7.9 Macroinvertebrates recorded during the biological surveys in the study area of the Templemore Flood Relief Scheme study area in July 2014**

Group/organism	Pollution sensitivity group	Functional group	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 7
<b>MAYFLIES</b> (Uniramia, Ephemeroptera)								
Spiny crawler mayflies (Ephemerellidae)								
Blue-winged olive <i>Ephemerella ignita</i>	C	Gathering collector	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	****
Baetidae								
Large dark olive <i>Baetis rhodani</i>	C	Scraper & gathering collector	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
Family Heptagenidae								
Autumn dun <i>Ecdyonurus dispar</i>	A	Scraper & gathering collector	**					
Yellow upright <i>Rhithrogena semicolorata</i>	A	Scraper & gathering collector		**		**	***	
<b>STONEFLIES</b> (Order Plecoptera)								
Needleflies (Leuctridae)								
<i>Leuctra</i> sp.	B	Shredder	*			*		
<b>CASED CADDIS FLIES</b> (Trichoptera)								
Northern caddisflies (Limnephilidae)								
<i>Potamophylax</i> sp.	B	Shedder	*	***	**	****		**
<i>Limnephilus</i> sp.	B	Shedder	****	****	**	**	**	****
Family Sericostomatidae								
<i>Sericostoma personatum</i>	B	Shedder		***	**	***		*
Family Glossosomatidae								
<i>Agapetus fuscipes</i>	B	Shedder		*****	*****	*****	*****	**
Family Goeridae								
<i>Silo pallipes</i>	B	Shedder		***		**	****	
<b>CASELESS CADDIS FLIES</b> (Trichoptera)								
Grey flags (Hydropsychidae)								

**A7.9 Macroinvertebrates recorded during the biological surveys in the study area of the Templemore Flood Relief Scheme study area in July 2014**

Group/organism	Pollution sensitivity group	Functional group	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 7
<i>Hydropysche</i> sp.	C	Filtering Collector	****	***	*	**	****	**
Green sedges (Rhyacophilidae)								
The sandfly <i>Rhyacophila dorsalis</i>	C	Predator	**	***	*	**	*	
Trumpet-net caddisflies (Polycentropodidae)								
<i>Plectonemia</i> sp.	C	Filtering collector						***
<b>TRUE FLIES</b> (Diptera)								
Blackfly (Simuliidae)	C	Filtering collector	****	****	***	**	*****	***
Family Chironomidae								
Bloodworm <i>Chironomus</i> sp.	E	Filtering collector			*			
Green chironomid	C	Filtering collector	***	****	***	****	**	*
Family Thaumaleidae	C	Shredder		***		*		
Craneflies (Tipulidae)								
<i>Dicranota</i> sp.	C	Shredder		***		**		***
<b>BEETLES</b> (Coleoptera)								
Diving beetles (Dytiscidae)								
Sub family Hydroporinae								
<i>Helophorus</i> sp.	C	Predator						**
Riffle Beetle larvae (Elmidae)								
<i>Elmis</i> sp.	C	Grazer	***	***	**	*	*	*
<i>Limnius</i> sp. (larvae)	C	Grazer		***	*			
<b>WATER BUGS</b> (Order Hemiptera, sub order Heteroptera)								
Family Corixidae								
Lesser water boatman <i>Corixia</i> sp.	C	Predator						*
Family Gerridae								

**A7.9 Macroinvertebrates recorded during the biological surveys in the study area of the Templemore Flood Relief Scheme study area in July 2014**

Group/organism	Pollution sensitivity group	Functional group	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 7
<i>Gerris</i> sp.	C	Predator						**
Family Hydrometridae								
<i>Hydrometra</i> sp.	C	Predator						*
<b>SNAILS</b> (Mollusca, Gastropoda)								
Family Ancyliidae								
River limpet <i>Ancylus fluviatilis</i>	C	Scraper	***	****	**	***	*****	
Family Hydrobiidae								
Jenkin's spire shell <i>Potamopyrgus jenkinsi</i>	C	Grazer	*****	****	****	****	***	**
<b>MUSSELS</b> (Mollusca, Lamellibranchiata)								
Orb/Pea Mussels (Sphaeriidae)								
<i>Pisidium</i> sp.	D	Filtering Collector	*	***		*	**	
<b>CRUSTACEANS</b> (Crustacea)								
Family Astacidae								
White clawed crayfish <i>Austropotamobius pallipes</i>	C	Predator	*					
Amphipods (Amphipoda, Gammaridae)								
Freshwater shrimp <i>Gammarus deubeni</i>	C	Shredder	*****	*****	*****	*****	****	*****
<b>LEECHES</b> (Hirudinae)								
Piscicolidae								
<i>Piscicola</i> sp.	C	Predator	**			*	*	
<b>SEGMENTED WORMS</b> (Annelida, Clitellata)								
Aquatic earthworm (Lumbriculidae)	D	Collector	**	**	*		*	***
<b>Family richness</b>			17	19	14	19	17	19

**A7.9 Macroinvertebrates recorded during the biological surveys in the study area of the Templemore Flood Relief Scheme study area in July 2014**

Group/organism	Pollution sensitivity group	Functional group	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 7
<b>Q rating</b>			3-4 <sup>a</sup>	3-4 <sup>a</sup>	3	3-4 <sup>a</sup>	3-4 <sup>a</sup>	3


*\*Present, \*\*Scarce/Few, \*\*\*Small Numbers, \*\*\*\*Fair Numbers, \*\*\*\*\*Common, \*\*\*\*\*Numerous, \*\*\*\*\*Dominant, \*\*\*\*\*Excessive.*

<sup>a</sup> Borderline Q3-4 due to relatively low abundance of pollution sensitive indicators.



## Figures


### Survey sites examined on the Mall (Templemore Demesne) River for the proposed Templemore Flood Relief Scheme



**Figure A7.1** Aquatic ecology survey sites.



# Results of the Aquatic Survey of sites examined on the Mall (Templemore Demesne) River for the proposed Templemore Flood Relief Scheme



**Figure A7.1** Aquatic ecology summary results.

## **Appendix 7.3**

---

### **Photographs of Aquatic Assessment**



## Appendix 7-3      Photographs of Aquatic Assessment

### Plates



**Plate A7.1** Electrofishing at Site 4 on the Mall River.



**Plate A7.2** Electrofishing at Site 5 on the Mall River.





**Plate A7.3** Electrofishing at lamprey site (Site 6).



**Plate A7.4** Electrofishing at lamprey site (Site 4).



**Plate A7.5** Hand searching for white-clawed crayfish at Site 5.



**Plate A7.6** Hand searching for white-clawed crayfish at Site 2.





**Plate A7.7** Kick sampling on the Mall River at Site 5.



**Plate A7.8** Mall River at Site 7.



**Plate A7.9** Mall River at Site 3.



**Plate A7.10** Mall River at Site 5.





**Plate A7.11** Stretch of the Mall River downstream of the N62 in Templemore.



**Plate A7.12** Templemore Lake outflow.



**Plate A7.13** Templemore Lake overflow.



**Plate A7.14** Templemore Lake outflow.





**Plate A7.15** Kick sampling on the River Suir at Site 1. Note the excessive filamentous algal growth present.



**Plate A7.16** River Suir upstream of Site 1; downstream of Mall River confluence.



**Plate A7.17** Adult brown trout captured during the electrofishing survey at Site 5.



**Plate A7.18** Juvenile brook lampreys captured during the electrofishing survey at Site 6.





**Plate A7.19** Pike captured during the electrofishing survey at Site 4.



**Plate A7.20** Brown trout (top 2) and juvenile salmon captured during the electrofishing survey at Site 4.



**Plate A7.21** European eel recorded at Site 4.



**Plate A7.22** Significant numbers of brown trout (and juvenile salmon) were recorded during walkover surveys of the affected stretch also.





**Plate A7.23** White clawed crayfish captured at Site 5, during the hand searching survey.



**Plate A7.24** White clawed crayfish exposed from beneath a refuge at Site 5 on the Mall River.

## **Appendix 8.1**

---

### **Trial Pit Logs**



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CARESWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 50

[illegible]

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN: THUS: P Piston; U Undisturbed; J Jar; D Disturbed; B Bulk; W Water; S SPT; C CPT; V Vane Test





GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CARESWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 51

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.		JOB NO: 9310/S7		DATE: 11/1/94		EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR	
LOCATION: Talavera Plc. TnM		CO-ORDINATES: E		N		SIZE:	
CLIENT:		LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN		WEATHER:			
DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD	
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)			
TOPSOIL							
SOFT TO FIRM brown sandy CLAY with some gravel and occasional cobbles.		0.20					
MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE grey/brown sub-angular gravelly very clayey SAND with many cobbles and occasional boulders.		0.80					
		2.10					
Trial-pit ends at 2.1 m.			D1	1.40- 1.50			
WATER OBSERVATIONS		OTHER REMARKS					
No water encountered		Excavation stable Rate of progress fairly good.					
REV:		CHK:		DATE:			
		/		NL		21.2.94	



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 52

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 11/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Barrack St. TnM	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: B. CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
TOPSOIL		0.00				
SOFT TO FIRM brown sandy CLAY with occasional cobbles.		0.25				
STIFF grey gravelly sandy CLAY with some cobbles and boulders.		1.20				
Trial-pit ends at 2.00m.		2.00				

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation very stable Rate of progress fairly good
REV: /	CHK: NL
	DATE: 21.2.94



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 53

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 10/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Thurles Rd. TnM	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
TOPSOIL		0.00				
SOFT TO FIRM brown sandy CLAY with some gravel and occasional cobbles.		0.35				
		1.85				
		2.05				
Broken LIMESTONE ROCK in a matrix of grey sandy SILT						
Trial-pit ends at 2.05m						

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation very stable Rate of progress good to 1.85m
REV: /	CHK: NL
	DATE: 21.2.94

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston: U Undisturbed: J Jar: D Disturbed: B Bulk: W Water: S SPT: C CPT: V Vane Test





GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 54

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.		JOB NO: 9310/S7		DATE: 13/1/94		EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR	
LOCATION: The Mall		CO-ORDINATES: E		N		SIZE:	
CLIENT:		LOGGED BY: B. CURTIN		WEATHER:			
DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD	
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)			
Wearing Coarse Macadam		0.00					
Lean Mix Concrete/Hardcore							
FIRM grey CLAY		0.45					
SOFT TO FIRM brown CLAY		0.95					
SOFT TO FIRM grey CLAY		1.40					
SOFT sandy PEAT (with tree trunk).		2.15					
Trial-Pit ends at 2.15m.							
OTHER REMARKS							
WATER OBSERVATIONS							
Excavation fairly unstable; Rate of progress good. WCM = Wearing Coarse Macadam WCM to 0.05m; Hardcore to 0.15m.							
REV:				CHK:		DATE:	



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP55

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 21/12/93	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Richmond Rd. TnM	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Base Coarse Macadam		0.00				
Lean Mix Concrete						
SOFT TO FIRM light brown gravelly CLAY with cobbles.						
SOFT TO FIRM light brown gravelly CLAY with boulders		1.00				
Trial-pit ends at 1.85m.		1.85				

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation very stable Unable to proceed beyond 1.85m due to boulders. BCM to 0.05m; Leanmix Concrete to 0.15m
REV: /	CHK: Nc
	DATE: 21.2.94









GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP56

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 21/12/93	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Richmond Rd. TnM	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Wearing Coarse Macadam Lean Mix Macadam FIRM red brown sandy CLAY with some weathered sub-angular gravel.	 	0.00				
FIRM light brown sandy CLAY with boulders.		1.10	D1	0.80		
Trial-pit ends at 2.00m		2.00				

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation very stable Rate of progress very good. WCM to 0.05m; Lean Mix Macadam to 0.15m.
REV: /	CHK: NL
	DATE: 21.2.94



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 57

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 6/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Borrisoleigh Rd. Tnm	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Chippings and Sand		0.00				
SOFT TO FIRM red/brown sandy CLAY with some gravel		0.30				
MEDIUM DENSE COBBLES & BOULDERS		1.10				
STIFF red/brown gravelly CLAY with many cobbles and some boulders.		1.50				
Trial-pit ends at 2.05m.		2.05				

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation fairly stable Rate of progress moderate
REV: /	CHK: NL
	DATE: 21.2.94



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 57A

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 14/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Borrisoleigh Rd. TnM	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Tarmacadam						
Fine Chips and Dust		0.15				
SOFT TO FIRM brown sandy CLAY with some gravel and cobbles.		1.40	J1	1.50- 1.60		
FIRM brown/grey gravelly sandy CLAY with some cobbles and occasional boulders.		1.80				
SOLID ROCK (presumed)						
Trial-pit ends at 1.85m.						

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation fairly stable Rate of progress good to 1.80m Tarmacadam to 0.04m; Fine Chips & Dust to 0.15m.
REV: /	CHK: N L
	DATE: 21.2.94

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston: U Undisturbed: J Jar: D Disturbed: B Bulk: W Water: S SPT: C CPT: V Vane Test





GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CARESWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 58

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 10/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Borrisoleigh Rd. TnM	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL mOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Stone & Chippings		0.00				
SOFT black peaty SILT		0.40				
FIRM grey sandy SILT with cobbles and some gravel		0.80				
SOLID ROCK (presumed).						
Trial-pit ends at 0.90m						

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation stable Unable to excavate rock
REV: /	CHK: NL
	DATE: 21.2.94

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston: U Undisturbed: J Jar: D Disturbed: B Bulk: W Water: S SPT: C CPT: V Vane Test



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.

JOB NO: 9310/S7

DATE: 10/1/94

LOCATION: Borrisoleigh Rd. Tnm

CO-ORDINATES: E

CLIENT:

LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN

WEATHER:

## DESCRIPTION OF STRATA

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		

### TOPSOIL

FIRM grey with some pockets of green, yellow and brown sandy CLAY with some sub-angular gravel with cobbles.

W1  
0.90-  
2.00

D1  
J1  
1.20-  
1.30  
1.25-  
1.30

FIRM grey gravelly sandy CLAY with some cobbles and boulders.

1.50

Trial-pit ends at 2.00m.

2.00

## WATER OBSERVATIONS

Water seepage from 0.90m

## OTHER REMARKS

Side of excavation collapsing  
Rate of progress fairly good

REV:

/

CHK:


N L

DATE:

21.2.94

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston; U Undisturbed; J Jar; D Disturbed; B Bulk; W Water; S SPT; C CPT; V Vane Test





TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 60

EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR

SIZE:

WEATHER:

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston: U Undisturbed: J Jar: D Disturbed: B Bulk: W Water: S SPT: C CPT: V Vane Test



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CARESWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 60A

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 13/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Blackcastle Rd.	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Dust and chips	XXXX	0.00				
SOFT brown and grey sandy CLAY with occasional gravel		1.30				
SOFT TO FIRM green gravelly sandy SILT with occasional cobbles		2.10	D1 J1	1.40- 1.50 1.45- 1.50		
Trial-pit ends at 2.10m.						

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS	
Slight water seepage at 1.80m	Excavation stable Rate of progress good Dust & chips to 0.07m.	
REV: 1	CHK: 12	DATE: 21.2.94

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston: U Undisturbed: J Jar: D Disturbed: B Bulk: W Water: S SPT: C CPT: V Vane Test



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CARESWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

PAGE 1 OF 1

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 61

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 6/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Blackcastle Rd.	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
MEDIUM DENSE clayey GRAVEL (FILL)		0.00				
SOFT red/grey organic CLAY		0.60				
VERY SOFT brown clayey PEAT with traces of timber.		0.80				
VERY SOFT grey and pink organic CLAY with some vegetation and shells.		1.30				
VERY SOFT pink organic CLAY		2.00				
VERY LOOSE pink/grey very silty SAND with very occasional angular gravel and traces of organic material.		2.15				
			D1 J1	1.50- 1.60 1.55- 1.60		
			D2 J2	2.40- 2.50 2.45- 2.50		
Trial-pit ends at 3.05m		3.05				

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
No water encountered	Excavation fairly stable Rate of progress very good
REV: 2	CHK: NL
	DATE: 24.2.94

ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN THUS: P Piston; U Undisturbed; J Jar; D Disturbed; B Bulk; W Water; S SPT; C CPT; V Vane Test





GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 62

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 7/1/94
LOCATION: Blackcastle Rd.	CO-ORDINATES: E	N
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:
EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR		SIZE:

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
Quarry dust & Hardcore BOULDERS and COBBLES		0.00				
SOFT TO FIRM brown mottled grey sandy CLAY with some gravel and occasional cobbles.		0.40				
VERY SOFT grey organic CLAY		0.90				
LOOSE grey/brown clayey coarse SAND with some sub-angular gravel.		1.80	J1	1.40		
Trial-pit ends at 2.45m.		2.45	D1	2.00- 2.20		

WATER OBSERVATIONS	OTHER REMARKS
Water struck at 1.80m Water level at 1.75m after 15 mins.	Excavation unstable Rate of progress very good below 0.40m Quarry dust & Hardcore to 0.05m.
REV: /	CHK: Nc
DATE: 21.2.94	



GEOTECH SPECIALISTS LTD.,  
CAREWSWOOD,  
CASTLEMARTYR,  
CO. CORK,  
IRELAND.

TEL: (021) 667164  
FAX: (021) 667630

TRIAL PIT No.  
TP 63

PROJECT: TEMPLEMORE R.W.S.S.	JOB NO: 9310/S7	DATE: 7/1/94	EQUIPMENT: EXCAVATOR
LOCATION: Blackcastle Rd.	CO-ORDINATES: E	N	SIZE:
CLIENT:	LOGGED BY: BRIAN CURTIN	WEATHER:	

DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	LEGEND	DEPTH (M)	SAMPLES		INSTALLATION/ BACKFILL	REDUCED LEVEL MOD
			TYPE NO.	DEPTH (M)		
TOPSOIL						
FIRM brown sandy CLAY with some gravel and occasional cobbles.		0.00				
		1.30				
MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE angular limestone cobbles and boulders (presumed broken rock).		1.60				
Trial-pit ends at 1.65m.						

WATER OBSERVATIONS

No water encountered

OTHER REMARKS

Excavation fairly stable  
Rate of progress good to 1.55m  
End of T.P. at 1.65m due to Rock  
Topsoil to 0.10m

REV: /	CHK: 11 C	DATE: 21.2 94
--------	-----------	---------------



## **Appendix 11.1**

---

### **Laboratory Dust Results**



**ENVIRONMENTAL  
LABORATORY SERVICES**

Acorn Business Campus  
Mahon Industrial Park,  
Blackrock,  
Cork  
Ireland

Tel: +353 21 453 6141

Fax: +353 21 453 6149

Web: [www.irishwatertesting.com](http://www.irishwatertesting.com)

<b>Contact Name</b>	Orla McAlister	<b>Report Number</b>	<b>77370 - 1</b>
<b>Address</b>	Tobin Consulting Engineers (Dublin) Block 10-4	<b>Sample Number</b>	77370/001
<b>Tel No</b>	(0) 1 80304 06	<b>Date of Receipt</b>	22/07/2014
<b>Fax No</b>	(0) 1 80304 09	<b>Date Started</b>	22/07/2014
<b>Customer PO</b>	2723	<b>Received or Collected</b>	Courier
<b>Quotation No</b>	QN003035	<b>Condition on Receipt</b>	Good
<b>Customer Ref</b>	D1	<b>Date of Report</b>	24/07/2014
		<b>Sample Type</b>	Other

**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

TEST	ANALYTE	SUB	METHOD	LOQ	SPEC	RESULT	UNITS	ACCRED.	OOS
<b>Bergerhoff (Total Solids mg/m2/day)</b>									
Bergerhoff (Total Solids)			EW131	1.0		10.8	mg/jar		
Sampling Days			EW131	1		28	day		
Diameter of Jar Opening			EW131	0.000		0.088	m		
Surface Area of Jar Opening			EW131	0.000		0.006	m2		
Bergerhoff (Total Solids mg/m2/day)			EW131	5.0		63.4	mg/m2/day		

**Signed :** \_\_\_\_\_ 24/07/2014

**Technical Manager (or Deputy):** **Brendan Murray**

**NOTES**

- 1.This Report shall not be Reproduced except in full, without the permission of the laboratory and only relates to the items tested.
- 2.SPEC= Allowable limit or parametric value
- 3.OOS=Result which is outside specification highlighted as OOS
- 4.LOQ=Limit of Quantification or lowest value that can be reported for the test
- 5.ACCRED=Indicates matrix accreditation for the test,a blank field indicates not accredited



**ENVIRONMENTAL  
LABORATORY SERVICES**

Acorn Business Campus  
Mahon Industrial Park,  
Blackrock,  
Cork  
Ireland

Tel: +353 21 453 6141

Fax: +353 21 453 6149

Web: [www.irishwatertesting.com](http://www.irishwatertesting.com)

<b>Contact Name</b>	Orla McAlister	<b>Report Number</b>	<b>77370 - 1</b>
<b>Address</b>	Tobin Consulting Engineers (Dublin) Block 10-4	<b>Sample Number</b>	77370/002
<b>Tel No</b>	(0) 1 80304 06	<b>Date of Receipt</b>	22/07/2014
<b>Fax No</b>	(0) 1 80304 09	<b>Date Started</b>	22/07/2014
<b>Customer PO</b>	2723	<b>Received or Collected</b>	Courier
<b>Quotation No</b>	QN003035	<b>Condition on Receipt</b>	Good
<b>Customer Ref</b>	D2	<b>Date of Report</b>	24/07/2014
		<b>Sample Type</b>	Other

**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

TEST	ANALYTE	SUB	METHOD	LOQ	SPEC	RESULT	UNITS	ACCRED.	OOS
<b>Bergerhoff (Total Solids mg/m2/day)</b>									
Bergerhoff (Total Solids)			EW131	1.0		36.6	mg/jar		
Sampling Days			EW131	1		28	day		
Diameter of Jar Opening			EW131	0.000		0.088	m		
Surface Area of Jar Opening			EW131	0.000		0.006	m2		
Bergerhoff (Total Solids mg/m2/day)			EW131	5.0		214.8	mg/m2/day		

**Signed :** \_\_\_\_\_ 24/07/2014

**Technical Manager (or Deputy):** **Brendan Murray**

**NOTES**

- 1.This Report shall not be Reproduced except in full, without the permission of the laboratory and only relates to the items tested.
- 2.SPEC= Allowable limit or parametric value
- 3.OOS=Result which is outside specification highlighted as OOS
- 4.LOQ=Limit of Quantification or lowest value that can be reported for the test
- 5.ACCRED=Indicates matrix accreditation for the test,a blank field indicates not accredited



**ENVIRONMENTAL  
LABORATORY SERVICES**

Acorn Business Campus  
Mahon Industrial Park,  
Blackrock,  
Cork  
Ireland

Tel: +353 21 453 6141

Fax: +353 21 453 6149

Web: [www.irishwatertesting.com](http://www.irishwatertesting.com)

<b>Contact Name</b>	Orla McAlister	<b>Report Number</b>	<b>77370 - 1</b>
<b>Address</b>	Tobin Consulting Engineers (Dublin) Block 10-4	<b>Sample Number</b>	77370/003
<b>Tel No</b>	(0) 1 80304 06	<b>Date of Receipt</b>	22/07/2014
<b>Fax No</b>	(0) 1 80304 09	<b>Date Started</b>	22/07/2014
<b>Customer PO</b>	2723	<b>Received or Collected</b>	Courier
<b>Quotation No</b>	QN003035	<b>Condition on Receipt</b>	Good
<b>Customer Ref</b>	D3	<b>Date of Report</b>	24/07/2014
		<b>Sample Type</b>	Other

**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

TEST	ANALYTE	SUB	METHOD	LOQ	SPEC	RESULT	UNITS	ACCRED.	OOS
<b>Bergerhoff (Total Solids mg/m2/day)</b>									
Bergerhoff (Total Solids)			EW131	1.0		28.6	mg/jar		
Sampling Days			EW131	1		28	day		
Diameter of Jar Opening			EW131	0.000		0.088	m		
Surface Area of Jar Opening			EW131	0.000		0.006	m2		
Bergerhoff (Total Solids mg/m2/day)			EW131	5.0		167.9	mg/m2/day		

**Signed :** \_\_\_\_\_ 24/07/2014

**Technical Manager (or Deputy):** **Brendan Murray**

**NOTES**

- 1.This Report shall not be Reproduced except in full, without the permission of the laboratory and only relates to the items tested.
- 2.SPEC= Allowable limit or parametric value
- 3.OOS=Result which is outside specification highlighted as OOS
- 4.LOQ=Limit of Quantification or lowest value that can be reported for the test
- 5.ACCRED=Indicates matrix accreditation for the test,a blank field indicates not accredited

## **Appendix 11.2**

---

### **Birr Wind Rose**




## Percentage Frequency of Occurrence of Wind Directions

Calm: 7.0%

N

Scale: 1% = 1cm

0 1 2 3 4 %



## Percentage Frequency of Occurrence of Wind Speeds

+ less than 0.1

0	1-3	4-6	7-10	11-16	17-21	22-27	28-33	34-40	41-47	over 48	knots
7.0	22.1	24.1	26.2	17.5	2.6	0.5	+	+	0.0	0.0	%

mean wind speed: 6.7 knots  
 anemometer height: 12m

standard deviation: 4.7 knots

## **Appendix 14.1**

---

### **Cultural Heritage References**

## Appendix 14- 1 Cultural Heritage References

### References and Bibliography

- Joyce, P.W., 1913. Irish Names of Places. Vol. III. Dublin.
- Killanin, Lord. & Duignan, M.V., 1989. The Shell Guide to Ireland. Edbury Press, London.
- Lewis, S., 1937. A Topographical Dictionary of Ireland. 2 Vols. Lewis & Co., London.
- Ó'Cróinín, D., 1995. Early Medieval Ireland, 400-1200. Longman, England.
- O'Keeffe, T., 2000. Medieval Ireland, an Archaeology. Tempus, U.K.
- Waddell, J., 1998. The Prehistoric Archaeology of Ireland. Galway University Press, Galway.

### OTHER SOURCES REFERENCED

- Dept. of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht & the Islands, 1999. Frameworks and Principles for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage, Government publications, Dublin.
- Dept. of Arts, Heritage, Gaeltacht & the Islands, 1999. Policy and Guidelines on Archaeological Excavation. Govn. Publications, Dublin.
- The Heritage Council, 2000. Archaeology & Development: Guidelines for Good Practice for Developers. The Heritage Council of Ireland Series, Kilkenny.
- The Planning and Heritage Section of the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government. Sites and Monuments Record, County Tipperary.
- EPA, 1995. Advice notes on current practice in the preparation of Environmental Impact Statements. Dublin.
- National Monuments Acts 1930-1994.
- National Museum of Ireland. Topographical files, County Tipperary.
- [www.excavations.ie](http://www.excavations.ie)